



**Enriching the Historiography of Religious Education:  
Insights from Oral Life History**

Journal:	<i>History of Education</i>
Manuscript ID	THED-2016-0051.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Keywords:	Oral Life History, Methodology, professional identity and practice, curriculum development, professional organisation

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

# Enriching the Historiography of Religious Education: Insights from Oral Life History

Authorship:

1) Jonathan Doney (corresponding author)

Graduate School of Education

University of Exeter

St Luke's Campus

Heavitree Road

Exeter

EX1 2LU

Email: [J.Doney@exeter.ac.uk](mailto:J.Doney@exeter.ac.uk)

Telephone: 07762 061165

2) Stephen G. Parker

Institute of Education

University of Worcester

Henwick Road

Worcester

WR2 6AJ

Email: [s.parker@worc.ac.uk](mailto:s.parker@worc.ac.uk)

Telephone: 01905 542165

3) Rob Freathy

Graduate School of Education

University of Exeter

St Luke's Campus

Heavitree Road

Exeter

EX1 2LU

Email: [R.J.K.Freathy@exeter.ac.uk](mailto:R.J.K.Freathy@exeter.ac.uk)

Telephone: 01392 724818

This article seeks to exemplify the extent to which oral life history research can enrich existing historiographies of English Religious Education (RE). Findings are reported from interviews undertaken with a sample of key informants involved in designing and/or implementing significant curriculum changes in RE in the 1960s and 1970s. The interviews provided insights into personal narratives and biographies that have been marginal to, or excluded from, the historical record. Thematic analysis of the oral life histories opened a window into the world of RE, specifically in relation to professional identity and practice, curriculum development, and professional organizations, thereby exposing the operational dynamics of RE at an (inter-)personal and organizational level. The findings are framed by a series of methodological reflections. Overall, oral life histories are shown to be capable of revealing that which was previously hidden and which can be confirmed and contrasted with knowledge gleaned from primary documentary sources.

**Keywords:** Oral life history; methodology; professional identity and practice; curriculum development; professional organisation.

### Biographical approaches in the historiography of Religious Education

It is widely held that a new chapter in the history of English Religious Education (RE) began in the 1960s and 1970s, with the period being associated with radical changes in the aims, methods and content of RE in fully state-maintained schools (without a religious character) in England.<sup>1</sup> In theory at least, there was a move away from a form of Christian confessionalism (whereby children were nurtured in and encouraged to adopt the beliefs and practices of the Christian faith), towards a 'post-confessional', phenomenological, multi-faith approach<sup>2</sup> (whereby children became acquainted 'with some basic facts about other men's [*sic.*] religions and the social and cultural contexts within which they find expression'),<sup>3</sup> with the aim of enabling pupils to 'gain an authentic understanding of religion'<sup>4</sup> and to 'increase tolerance and understanding, the widening of the pupil's horizons, as well as deepening his

<sup>1</sup> Rob Freathy and Stephen Parker, 'The necessity of historical inquiry in educational research: The case of Religious Education', *British Journal of Religious Education* 32, no. 3 (2010): 229-243; Stephen Parker and Rob Freathy, 'Context, Complexity and Contestation: Birmingham's Agreed Syllabuses for Religious Education since the 1970s.' *Journal of Beliefs and Values* 32, no. 2 (2011): 247-262; Stephen Parker and Rob Freathy, 'Ethnic Diversity, Christian Hegemony and the Emergence of Multi-faith Religious Education in the 1970s.' *History of Education* 41, no. 3 (2011): 381-404; Rob Freathy and Stephen Parker, 'Freedom From Religious Beliefs: Humanists and Religious Education in England in the 1960s and 1970s', in *Religious Education and Freedom of Religion and Belief*, eds. Stephen Parker, Rob Freathy and Leslie Francis (Oxford: Peter Lang, 2012): 7-27; Rob Freathy and Stephen Parker, 'Secularists, Humanists and religious education: religious crisis and curriculum change in England, 1963-1975.' *History of Education* 42, no. 2 (2013): 222-256.

<sup>2</sup> Philip Barnes, 'Working Paper 36, Christian Confessionalism and phenomenological religious education', *Journal of Education and Christian Belief* 6, no. 1 (2002): 61-77.

<sup>3</sup> Durham, *The fourth R: The Report of the Commission on Religious Education in Schools* (London: National Society/SPCK, 1970): §264.

<sup>4</sup> City of Birmingham Education Committee, *Agreed Syllabus for Religious Education* (Birmingham: City of Birmingham Education Committee, 1975): 9-10.

1 understanding of man [*sic.*] and the world'.<sup>5</sup> The existing historiography frequently exemplifies these  
2 changes in terms of the influence of the Schools Council *Working Paper 36* and the 1975 Birmingham  
3 *Agreed Syllabus of Religious Instruction*.<sup>6</sup>  
4

5  
6 However, much of this existing historiography is predicated on the analysis of documentary material  
7 originating in the period under scrutiny. Based on this, a history of RE has developed that foregrounds,  
8 what David Labaree has called, the rhetorical and formal curriculum. This is the curriculum proposed  
9 by policymakers and academics in speeches, reports and textbooks, and demonstrated by school policy  
10 documents and schemes of work,<sup>7</sup> as opposed to the curriculum-in-use or received curriculum which is  
11 the content teachers actually deliver, and the content that students actually learn.<sup>8</sup> Our earlier archival  
12 research, in an attempt to uncover the history of RE that is hidden behind the published record, analysed  
13 unpublished source material from relevant archives, and contextualized these in the wider political and  
14 educational scene.<sup>9</sup> Even so, these explorations still told us more about the politics and procedures lying  
15 behind the rhetorical and formal curriculum than they did about curriculum in practice. Further, they  
16 told us little about the historical actors who implemented the rhetorical and formal curriculum.  
17  
18  
19

20  
21 The research reported here differs from our own prior research in two significant ways. Firstly, it does  
22 not rely principally upon primary documentary sources, except in so far as our prior acquaintance with  
23 such sources has shaped the current project and our interpretation of the oral life history data. Secondly,  
24 drawing us nearer to practice by considering what it meant to be a participant in the history that has  
25 already been told, the research focuses on the biographies of those who conceived of, and implemented,  
26 the RE curriculum changes of the 1960s and 1970s. Andrea Jacobs and colleagues argue that such  
27 perspectives are 'a vital ... part of our social, political and cultural history',<sup>10</sup> yet such personal  
28 narratives have been frequently undervalued within the history of education. Whilst there are some  
29 examples of this changing (Ina ter Avest's recent work, for example, foregrounds the importance of  
30 (auto)biographical reflection in relation to the development of RE), there are a number of important  
31 issues of relevance to this rehabilitation of personal narrative raised by, for example, Annette Kuhn in  
32 her distinctive and insightful introduction to memory as history.<sup>11</sup>  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

---

40  
41 <sup>5</sup> Schools Council, *Schools Council Working Paper 36: Religious Education in Secondary Schools*  
42 (London: Methuen Educational, 1971): 63.

43 <sup>6</sup> Schools Council, *Working Paper 36*; City of Birmingham Education Committee, *Agreed Syllabus for*  
44 *Religious Education*; City of Birmingham Education Committee, *Living together: A teachers' handbook*  
45 *of suggestions for religious education* (Birmingham: City of Birmingham Education Committee, 1975).

46 <sup>7</sup> D.F. Labaree, 'The chronic failure of curriculum reform', *Education Week* 18, no. 36 (1999): 42-45.

47 <sup>8</sup> See Parker and Freathy, 'Context, Complexity and Contestation'.

48 <sup>9</sup> For example: Freathy and Parker, 'The Necessity of Historical Inquiry', 'Secularists, Humanists and  
49 Religious Education'; Parker and Freathy, 'Ethnic Diversity, Christian Hegemony'; Rob Freathy,  
50 Stephen Parker and Jonathan Doney, 'Raiders of the Lost Archives: Searching for the Hidden History of  
51 Religious Education in England', in *History, Remembrance and Religious Education*, eds. S. G. Parker,  
52 R. Freathy and L. J. Francis (Oxford: Peter Lang, 2014): 105-137.

53 <sup>10</sup> Andrea Jacobs, Camilla Leach and Stephanie Spencer, 'Learning lives and alumni voices', *Oxford*  
54 *Review of Education* 36, no. 2 (2010): 219.

55 <sup>11</sup> Ina ter Avest, *On the Edge: (Auto)biography and Pedagogical Theories on Religious Education*  
56 (Rotterdam; Boston: SensePublishers, 2012); Annette Kuhn, *Family Secrets: Acts of Memory and*  
57 *Imagination* (London: Verso, 1995).  
58  
59  
60

1 Whilst, in the existing historiography of RE, the theories of some 'high profile academics' have been  
2 recorded and discussed,<sup>12</sup> the *relevance* of their personal narratives and biographies has largely been  
3 overlooked; prior research has explored the personal stories of teachers in general without specifically  
4 focusing on RE specialists.<sup>13</sup> The voices of other types of historical actors, for example, RE Advisers,  
5 teaching practitioners, and other educational professionals, who were involved in the design and  
6 implementation of curricula change, are absent from the historiography, implying an unwarranted  
7 lesser status and import to their historical perspectives.  
8  
9

10 An exception to this lacuna is found in Terence Copley's book, *Teaching Religion*. Copley includes  
11 three brief biographical sketches from individuals 'starting out in religious education' in 1935, 1968 and  
12 1995. Whilst these sketches are interesting enough, and draw attention to the existence of such  
13 narratives, they are appended without analysis or interpretation.<sup>14</sup> This lack of exploration regarding  
14 their contribution to the wider narrative combined with the positioning of the sketches as appendices,  
15 demonstrates a marginalization of the voices of their writers. Such a marginalization is indicative of the  
16 general neglect of personal narratives within the historiography of RE.  
17  
18  
19

20 In order to explore the way in which such narratives can enrich the historiography of education, we  
21 argue in the first part of this article that such a personal narrative approach can be fruitful in developing  
22 and enriching the educational history of RE, describing how we implemented the approach. In the  
23 second part we present our findings, through the discussion of interviews that we have undertaken, and  
24 in third part, we reflect on the methodological issues arising, stressing specific areas of contention, and  
25 arguing that this approach is as rigorous as other historiographical approaches. Thus, we identify ways  
26 in which this approach augments knowledge of events by drawing upon the memories of those involved  
27 in a critically reconstructed way. This process has the potential to reveal matters that have been  
28 marginal to, or excluded from, the historical record, providing insight into areas of personal and  
29 professional life other types of source cannot, and confirming and contrasting with knowledge gleaned  
30 from primary published and unpublished documentary sources. We argue that the collected personal,  
31 religious and professional narrative accounts represented by our interviews enrich understandings of the  
32 changes occurring within RE over a sustained period, adding a human dimension to the  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

---

39 <sup>12</sup> For example, Bates on John Hull (Denis Bates, 'John Hull: A Critical Appreciation', in *Education,*  
40 *Religion and Society: Essays in honour of John M. Hull*, eds. D. Bates, G. Durka & F. Schweitzer  
41 (Abingdon: Routledge, 2006): 6-32); Barnes on Ninian Smart (L.P. Barnes, 'Ninian Smart at the  
42 Phenomenological Approach to Religious Education', *Religion* 30 (2000): 315-32; L.P. Barnes, 2001,  
43 'What is wrong with the Phenomenological approach to Religious Education', *Religious Education* 96,  
44 no. 4 (2001): 445-61); Teece on John Hick (Geoff Teece, *A religious approach to religious education:*  
45 *the implications of John Hick's religious interpretation of religion for religious education* (Unpublished  
46 PhD thesis, University of Birmingham, 2010).  
47

48 <sup>13</sup> For example: D. Clandinin, and F. Connelly, 'Narrative, experience and the study of the curriculum',  
49 *Cambridge Journal of Education* 20, no. 3 (1990): 241-53; Ivor Goodson, *Studying Teachers' Lives*  
50 (London: Routledge, 1992); Ivor Goodson and Pat Sikes, *Life History Research in Educational Settings*  
51 (Maidenhead: Open University Press, 2001); K. Weiler and S. Middleton, (eds), *Telling Women's Lives:*  
52 *Narrative Inquiries in the History of Women's Education* (Buckingham: Open University Press, 1999);  
53 Richard J. Altenbaugh, 'Oral History American Teachers and a Social History of Schooling: an  
54 emerging agenda', *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 27 (1997): 313-330.  
55

56 <sup>14</sup> Terence Copley, *Teaching Religion* (Exeter: Exeter University Press, 2008); Rob Freathy, 'Book  
57 Review. Teaching Religion: Sixty Years of Religious Education in England and Wales', *History of*  
58 *Education* 39, no. 4 (2010): 567-570.  
59  
60



1 historiography.<sup>15</sup> Moreover, we suggest that by being attentive to such personal narratives, new data of  
2 potential relevance to a wide range of issues in the history of RE, curriculum and wider educational  
3 history, and other areas of history, including religious history, can be unearthed. Not least, these may  
4 contribute to ongoing parallel research on the professionalization of RE teachers, specifically in relation  
5 to the initial and continuing professional development, professional (self-)organisation and professional  
6 politics, and professional knowledge of RE teachers.<sup>16</sup>  
7  
8

### 9 **Oral life history methodology**

10 Our study set out to record a series of interviews with a sample of key informants, to analyse their  
11 previously ignored oral testimonies in order to emancipate the voices of historical actors who were  
12 involved in developments in RE through the 1960s and 1970s, and thus to open up this neglected field  
13 and enrich the historiography of RE. We did so by gathering personal narratives from professionals and  
14 practitioners, who were as much witnesses to change as they were agents of it, and whose life histories  
15 have been deliberately or accidentally silenced within the existing historiography. We expected much to  
16 be revealed that had hitherto been marginal to, or excluded from, the historical record, not least how  
17 changes in RE theory, policy and practice were enacted and experienced at a (inter-)personal level by  
18 the protagonists involved.  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 In pursuit of the enquiry ‘what were the life-histories of RE professionals and practitioners?’ we were  
24 able to explore the potential of differing stakeholder groups to offer insights which can enrich the  
25 existing historiography through such questions as: Why did people choose to become involved in RE  
26 and what did they hope to achieve by doing so? How were their personal theologies, ideologies and  
27 confessions formed, how did they develop, and of what influence were they upon their professional  
28 values and practices? To what extent were aspirations for their practice facilitated or challenged by the  
29 changing models of RE during the period? How far did RE influence those who entered the profession  
30 out of a sense of Christian vocation? Do these oral life histories indicate the emergence of a new kind of  
31 professional identity during this period?  
32  
33  
34

35 Having decided to undertake such interviews, we discovered that within the literature there is some  
36 confusion over the terms ‘life history’ and ‘oral history’.<sup>17</sup> There are similarities between the  
37 approaches, with both attempting to emancipate hidden and marginalized voices that have been  
38 excluded from the historiography.<sup>18</sup> Both sometimes, but not always (as will be explained below),  
39  
40  
41

---

42 <sup>15</sup> M. Jolly, ‘Oral history, life history, life writing: the logic of convergence’, in *Memory, Narrative and*  
43 *Histories: Critical Debates, New Trajectories, working papers on memory, narrative and history (no. 1)*,  
44 ed. C. Dawson (Brighton: Centre for Research in Memory, Narrative and Histories, 2012): 59.

45 <sup>16</sup> S. G. Parker, R. Freathy, and J. Doney, ‘The Professionalisation of Non-Denominational Religious  
46 Education in England: politics, organisation and knowledge’, *Journal of Beliefs and Values* 37, no.2  
47 (2016): 201-238; R. Freathy, S. G. Parker, F. Schweitzer and H. Simojoki, ‘Conceptualizing and  
48 Researching the Professionalization of Religious Education Teachers: Historical and International  
49 Perspectives’, *British Journal of Religious Education* 38, no. 2 (2016): 114-129; R. Freathy, S. G.  
50 Parker, F. Schweitzer and H. Simojoki, ‘Towards international comparative research on the  
51 professionalization of Religious Education’, *Journal of Beliefs and Values* 35, no. 2 (2014): 225-241.

52 <sup>17</sup> For example, R. Dhunpath, ‘Life history methodology: "narradigm" regained’, *Qualitative Studies in*  
53 *Education* 13, no. 5 (2000): 543-51; V.R. Yow, *Recording Oral History: A Guide for the Humanities*  
54 *and Social Sciences* (Walnut Creek, CA; AltaMira Press, 2005): 3-4.

55 <sup>18</sup> See, for example, Paul Thompson, *The Voice of the Past: Oral History* (Oxford: Oxford University  
56 Press, 2000).  
57  
58  
59  
60

1 involve the collection of personal narrative accounts through interviews. For this reason, the terms *life*  
2 *history* and *oral history* have at times been used interchangeably, militating against the possibility of  
3 devising clearly delineated and mutually exclusive definitions. However, it is possible to discern some  
4 differences between the approaches in terms of their contrasting historical origins and general  
5 methodological orientations. *Oral history*, which emerged during the 1960s and 1970s,<sup>19</sup> and has been  
6 particularly associated with the voices of the working classes and of women,<sup>20</sup> is perceived by some as  
7 an instrument of social change.<sup>21</sup>  
8  
9

10 On the other hand, *life history* research, which arose from the documentary movement of the 1920s and  
11 1930s and its attempt to capture the experience of a variety of social groups,<sup>22</sup> tends to place less  
12 emphasis on criticality and social change, and more on enriching the wider historiography through the  
13 inclusion of individual, marginalized, voices. Valerie Yow suggests that life history is ‘an account by an  
14 individual of his or her life that is recorded *in some way* ... for another person who edits and presents  
15 the account’.<sup>23</sup> Methodologically, a variety of tools are available in recording the ‘text’ of life history,  
16 including personal diaries, structured autobiographical writing, recorded monologues and interviews. In  
17 contrast, oral history concentrates solely on oral accounts, generally collected through interviews.  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 Aware of these debates, and the sometimes permeable distinctions between these differing terms, we  
23 have rejected the epithet ‘oral history’, which might suggest an emphasis on social change which is not  
24 present in our work. Likewise, we have avoided using the term ‘life history’ alone, without qualification,  
25 because it might suggest a variety of tools that we have not used. Instead, in line with our employment  
26 of recorded oral interviews which have been edited and presented by the researchers rather than the  
27 interviewees, we have adopted the term ‘oral life history’ to differentiate this approach from others.<sup>24</sup> In  
28 adopting the term oral life history, we align ourselves with those who call for methodological clarity in  
29 the field.  
30  
31  
32

### 33 *Sample and method*

---

34  
35  
36 <sup>19</sup> For example, J. McLeod and R. Thomson, *Researching social change: qualitative approaches*  
37 (London; Thousand Oaks, Calif.: SAGE, 2009); Joanna Bornat, *Timescapes Methods Guides Series*  
38 *2012: Guide No. 12: Oral History and Qualitative Research* (London: ESRC Qualitative Longitudinal  
39 Initiative, 2012).

40 <sup>20</sup> For example, Luisa Passerini, ‘Work Ideology and Consensus under Italian Fascism’, *History*  
41 *Workshop* 8 (1979): 82-108; ‘A Memory for Women's History: Problems of Method and Interpretation’,  
42 *Social Science History* 16, no. 4 (1992): 669-692; Penny Summerfield, *Reconstructing Women's*  
43 *Wartime Lives: discourse and subjectivity in oral histories of the Second World War* (Manchester:  
44 Manchester University Press, 1998); S.C. Williams, ‘The Problem of Belief: The Place of Oral History  
45 in the Study of Popular Religion’, *Oral History* (Autumn 1996): 27-34; Stephen Parker, *Faith on the*  
46 *Home Front: aspects of church life and popular religion in Birmingham, 1939-1945* (Oxford: Peter  
47 Lang, 2005); J. Bornat and H. Diamond, ‘Women's History and Oral History: developments and  
48 debates’, *Women's History Review* 16, no. 1 (2007): 19-39.

49 <sup>21</sup> For this discussion, see Thompson, ‘The Voice of the Past’, Chapter 1.

50 <sup>22</sup> Jolly, ‘Oral History, Life History’, 48.

51 <sup>23</sup> Yow, ‘Recording Oral History’, 225 (our emphasis).

52 <sup>24</sup> For a further delineation of the types of interview in oral and life history see S. Gluck, ‘What's so  
53 Special about Women? Women's Oral History’, *Frontiers: A Journal of Women Studies* 2, no. 2  
54 (Summer 1977): 3-17. For use of the term oral life history, see K.A. Henderson, ‘An oral life history  
55 perspective on the containers in which American farm women experienced leisure’, *Leisure Studies* 9,  
56 no. 2 (1990): 121-133.  
57  
58  
59  
60

1 We undertook eighteen oral life history interviews with academics, practitioners and professionals  
2 involved in designing and implementing curriculum development in RE, including School Inspectors  
3 from both Her Majesty's Inspectorate (HMI; up to 1992) and the Office for Standards in Education  
4 (Ofsted; from 1992), Local Education Authority and Diocesan advisors, area workers for various groups,  
5 teachers, and teacher trainers. It is important to note that many of these individuals fulfilled more than  
6 one role during their careers and that their memories of specific periods may have been coloured by  
7 these later professional experiences. Rather than undertake a systematic, detailed analysis of the history  
8 of RE from the perspective of individuals within only one of these groups, which would have been  
9 possible and would have yielded particular insights, we chose to interview a diversity of individuals  
10 representative of some of the range of stakeholders involved in RE policy and/or practice in the period  
11 under scrutiny.  
12  
13  
14

15  
16 The formation and implementation of the 1975 Birmingham *Agreed Syllabus of Religious Instruction*<sup>25</sup>  
17 represents an historical event spanning the early and middle years of the 1970s, which saw contrasting  
18 stakeholders come together to participate in what became a well-known (and hence memorable)  
19 milestone in the history of English multi-faith RE.<sup>26</sup> Drawing on our knowledge of the existing  
20 historiography, key informants were identified who would provide insight into the events surrounding  
21 this syllabus, and who would be well placed to evaluate its short- and long-term influence on the nature  
22 and purpose of RE. These informants would also provide knowledge of the local context of  
23 Birmingham in the 1970s and shed light on the network of personal, professional and social influences,  
24 which shaped it. Through a process of snowball sampling, whereby one interviewee recommends  
25 further potential participants,<sup>27</sup> we broadened our interviewee cohort to reflect wider parameters both  
26 geographically (from the Midlands of England to particular key national informants) and temporally  
27 (extended to the 1980s as some of the changes at the official level took some time to be implemented at  
28 the classroom level).  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 A semi-structured interview schedule was used with all participants to explore: early personal  
34 experiences (highlighting religious background and the development of personal worldviews); personal  
35 experiences of RE; and interviewees' academic backgrounds and training (including motivation for  
36 career choices). Further questions facilitated a detailed exploration of their professional biographies in  
37 relation to RE (particularly in discrete local contexts); the nature of their roles; how the subject changed  
38 over the period of the interviewees' experiences; and their involvement with specific professional  
39 groups and networks. Finally, interviewees were prompted to explore their reflections on current issues  
40 in RE from an historical perspective and were asked to highlight any particular issues of which they felt  
41 it important for the research team to be aware.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

---

49 <sup>25</sup> Birmingham City Education Committee, 'Birmingham Agreed Syllabus for Religious Instruction'.

50 <sup>26</sup> See Freathy, *et al.*, 'Raiders of the Lost Archive'; Jonathan Doney, 'That would be an ecumenical  
51 matter': Contextualizing the adoption of World Religions Teaching in English Religious Education  
52 through a systematic operationalization of Foucault's historical methods. (Unpublished PhD thesis,  
53 Exeter University, 2015).

54 <sup>27</sup> For example, D.D. Heckathorn, 'Respondent-driven sampling: a new approach to the study of hidden  
55 populations', *Social Problems* 44, no. 2 (2002): 174-99; K. Farquarson, 'A different kind of snowball:  
56 identifying key policymakers', *International Journal of Social Research Methodology* 8, no. 4 (2005):  
57 345-53.  
58  
59  
60



1 In line with ethical guidance from The Oral History Society,<sup>28</sup> we agree that it is good practice to secure  
2 informed consent from participants at the point of arranging interviews, with clear explanations of: the  
3 purpose of the interview, the goals of the project, the use to which the recorded interview would be put,  
4 and the safeguards in place to preserve participant privacy. Consequently, we began each recording with  
5 a summary of these explanations, and a reminder that interviewees were free to refuse to answer any of  
6 the questions and/or withdraw their consent at any point.<sup>29</sup>  
7  
8

9  
10 Interviews each lasted approximately two hours, although one or two were significantly longer. It was  
11 evident that some interviewees had undertaken a great deal of preparation for their interview, often  
12 gathering documents or other 'artefacts', whilst others followed up their interview with communiqués  
13 that expanded on their answers or corrected their perceived omissions in the recorded interviews. This is  
14 not to suggest that all narratives are linear and rehearsed; sometimes the asking of one question within  
15 an interview prompted a series of revelatory steps whereby the interviewee constructs an answer.  
16 Neither the absence or presence of such preparation and follow up was preferred; 'gut reaction'  
17 responses were not viewed as more or less valid or important than those that are carefully rehearsed.  
18  
19

### 20 21 *Transcription and extract selection*

22 Transcription, and the re-creation of the interview into a 'text',<sup>30</sup> is problematic, not only because of  
23 issues of accuracy,<sup>31</sup> but because non-verbal cues such as inflection, hesitation, and the volume of  
24 speech—all of which provide clues important to rhetorical analysis—are lost in the transcription  
25 process.<sup>32</sup> Thus we chose not to analyse written verbatim transcriptions of the interviews, rather we  
26 maintained the 'performance as well as the script [so] we can still visit the moment of production'.<sup>33</sup>  
27 Accordingly, we undertook an aural analysis, which entailed listening to interviews, and noting themes  
28 and topics of discussion, together with their position in the recording for ease of relocation.  
29  
30  
31

32 Stephanie Taylor discusses the matter of extract selection in some detail, stating that 'the basis for their  
33 selection is often unclear'.<sup>34</sup> In order to address this, Taylor calls writers to consider the extent of  
34 extracts and to explain the basis on which they are included.<sup>35</sup> In what follows, extracts have been  
35 carefully selected in order to illustrate the type of information exposed by oral life history, recognizing  
36 'that there may not be any single succinct extract that summarizes the complexity of larger findings and  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

---

42 <sup>28</sup> Oral History Society, 'Is your oral history legal and ethical?: Practical Steps'. URL:  
43 <http://www.ohs.org.uk/ethics.php#ethical-considerations> (last accessed 8/05/2014).

44 <sup>29</sup> For example, Rob Freathy and Stephen Parker, 'Introduction', in *History, Remembrance and*  
45 *Religious Education*, eds. S. G. Parker, R. Freathy and L. J. Francis (Oxford: Peter Lang, 2014) pp. 1-19.

46 <sup>30</sup> Jolly, 'Oral History, Life History', 54.

47 <sup>31</sup> For example, G. Gibbs, *Analyzing Qualitative Data* (London: Sage, 2007).

48 <sup>32</sup> For example: J.M. Atkinson and J. Heritage, 'Transcript notation: structures of social action: studies  
49 in conversation analysis'. *Aphasiology* 13, no. 4 (1999): 243-9; U. Flick, *An Introduction to Qualitative*  
50 *Research*, 4th ed., (London: Sage, 2009): 300-2.

51 <sup>33</sup> Gardner and Cunningham, 'Oral History and Teachers' Professional Practice', 340; see also Bornat,  
52 *Timescapes Methods Guides*. See also: Alessandro Portelli, 'The Peculiarities of Oral History', *History*  
53 *Workshop Journal* 12 (1981): 96-107.

54 <sup>34</sup> Stephanie Taylor, "'One participant said ...': the implications of quotations from biographical talk",  
55 *Qualitative Research* 12, no. 4 (2012): 388-401, 389.

56 <sup>35</sup> Taylor, 'One participant said ...', 399.  
57  
58  
59  
60

patterns in the data, and that data are distinct from interpretations and claims'.<sup>36</sup> Only after such decisions were made was the transcription of extracts carried out.

#### *The ethics and implications of anonymization*

The issue of anonymity is inextricably linked to the question of what motivates people to become participants in such research as ours.<sup>37</sup> Martin Hammersley highlights that many interviewees perceive interviews as a chance to 'tell their story',<sup>38</sup> and therefore are enthusiastic about being involved,<sup>39</sup> even seeing interviews as 'cathartic, providing a therapeutic and liberating experience'.<sup>40</sup> There is a risk that such enthusiasm overrides concerns regarding privacy and 'protection' of the 'subject',<sup>41</sup> which gives rise to an ethical tension between the widely accepted imperative to 'protect the identity of those who participate in research'<sup>42</sup> and the appropriate recognition of the interviewees' authorial role. Further, Hammersley highlights that participant enthusiasm may also skew perceptions about how interview data might be used, for example, assuming that researchers are interested primarily in experiences and feelings, when in fact discursive constructions and rhetorical strategies are the research focus.<sup>43</sup> Rather than striving for anonymity, which is relatively difficult to achieve where research participants are drawn from a small, easily identifiable population, and even more so where the likely audience and the research participants in some way overlap,<sup>44</sup> we chose to emphasize the safeguarding of participant privacy.<sup>45</sup>

Failure to recognize the authorial role of the interviewee has negative repercussions, especially in terms of interviewee identity, researcher-rooted editorial control, and in negotiating the meaning of what is said.<sup>46</sup> By retaining the participant's real name, their contribution is linked with a specific person with a specific identity, located in a specific spatio-temporal context. Removing their name breaks that link, and with it the link to the related contextual information. Ultimately, the removal of any socio-political and other contextual information impoverishes the data.<sup>47</sup> We are persuaded by Caitríona Ní Laoire, whose emphasis shifts away from anonymization of data towards the provision of a safe space within which her participants' narratives can be shared, together with a negotiated agreement between the

<sup>36</sup> Taylor, 'One participant said ...', 399.

<sup>37</sup> Tom Clark, 'On 'being researched': why do people engage with qualitative research?', *Qualitative Research* 10, no. 4 (2010): 399-419.

<sup>38</sup> Martyn Hammersley, 'On the Ethics of Interviewing for Discourse Analysis', *Qualitative Research* 14, no.5, (2013): 532.

<sup>39</sup> Clark, 'On 'Being Researched''.

<sup>40</sup> Cate Watson, 'Unreliable narrators? 'Inconsistency' (and some inconstancy) in interviews', *Qualitative Research* 6, no. 3 (2006): 367-84: 368.

<sup>41</sup> For example, Clark, 'On 'Being Researched''.

<sup>42</sup> Andrew Clark, *Working Paper: Anonymising Research Data* (London: ESRC Series, Real Life Methods, Working Paper, 2006): 4; see also BERA, *Ethical Guidelines for Educational Research* (London: BERA, 2011): 7.

<sup>43</sup> Hammersley, 'On the Ethics of Interviewing'.

<sup>44</sup> Susan Malone, 'Ethics at home: informed consent in your own backyard', *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education* 16, no. 6 (2003): 797-815; see also Clark, *Working Paper: Anonymising Research Data*.

<sup>45</sup> Kenneth Howe and Michelle Moses, 'Ethics in Educational Research', *Review of Research in Education* 24 (1999): 21-59.

<sup>46</sup> Caitríona Ní Laoire, 'To name or not to name: reflections on the use of anonymity in an oral archive of migrant life narratives', *Social & Cultural Geography* 8, no. 3 (2007): 373-90.

<sup>47</sup> Clarke, 'Working Paper: Anonymising Research Data'.

parties.<sup>48</sup> Further, where a narrative belongs to an identifiable individual, it is more ‘difficult’ for the researcher to redact it. Any attempts made by the researcher to speak for the participants, even well intentioned acts of emancipation, can become acts of oppression.<sup>49</sup> To perform such acts of misguided ventriloquism or, as bel hooks puts it, engaging in a ‘form of colonization’,<sup>50</sup> runs the risk of further marginalising the silenced. Ultimately, researchers cannot speak for others, ‘we can only tell our story about their lives’.<sup>51</sup>

### Findings: a window into another world

Initial aural analysis of the interviews exposed a series of themes within and across interviews that indicate an agenda for furthering understandings of the development of RE across the period under scrutiny; any one (or more, in combination) could be the focus of subsequent, more specialized, research. Themes were identified on the basis of qualitative rather than quantitative criteria. Some were arrived at deductively against a list of motifs identified in our previous work, which had informed the design of the interview schedule. Other themes emerged that had previously been hidden or marginalized in the existing historiography. By tabulating themes across all interviews, we devised the following taxonomy:

Table 1

Main theme	Sub-themes
Personal Experience and its effect on professional identity and practice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Personal (non-)religious identity</li> <li>◦ Childhood religious formation</li> <li>◦ Encounters with difference/‘religious other’</li> <li>◦ Disciplinary background and academic career</li> <li>◦ Development of, and influences upon, personal worldviews and theories of RE</li> <li>◦ Vocational motivation</li> </ul>
Professional Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Experiences of teacher training</li> <li>◦ Experience of continuing professional development provision and providers</li> <li>◦ Experiences of career mobility</li> </ul>
Professional Organizations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Reflections on experiences of, and involvement with, professional organizations</li> <li>◦ Perceptions of the relationships between professional organizations</li> </ul>
Curriculum Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Experiences of the formation and (non-)implementation of Agreed Syllabuses.</li> <li>◦ Influences upon, and examples of, curriculum innovation.</li> <li>◦ Involvement in the formation and/or implementation of new pedagogical approaches.</li> <li>◦ Perceptions of changes and continuities in RE curriculum content and</li> </ul>

<sup>48</sup> Ni Laoire, ‘To name or not to name’, 374 & 386.

<sup>49</sup> Gert Biesta, ‘A New Logic of Emancipation: The Methodology of Jacques Ranciere’, *Educational Theory* 60, no. 1 (2010): 39-59.

<sup>50</sup> bel hooks 1990, cited by Val Gillies and Pam Alldred, ‘The Ethics of Intention: Research as a Political Tool’. In *Ethics in Qualitative Research*, eds. M. Mauther, M. Birch, J. Jessop and J. Miller (London: SAGE, 2002): 32-52, 40.

<sup>51</sup> Griffin 1996, cited by Alldred and Gillies, ‘Eliciting research accounts’, 41.

	methods.
Research	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Awareness of, and involvement in, research relating to RE.</li> <li>◦ Responses to research projects and official reports.</li> </ul>
Status of RE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Perceptions on the general status of RE as a curriculum area.</li> <li>◦ Reflections upon the status and professional standing of RE teachers.</li> <li>◦ Responses to Government Policy (including the recruitment, training and retention of teachers).</li> <li>◦ Changes and continuities in the role of, and attitude towards, the Inspectorate.</li> </ul>

The type of information yielded by the oral life history interviews provides a window into the world of RE which is not available to us when relying solely on other sources of data, such as published documentary sources or unpublished archival sources. For the purposes of exemplification, we will now look through this window at three inter-connected areas within the world of RE. These are professional identity and practice, curriculum development and professional organization. The findings relating to these areas are most capable of answering our original research questions and relate most closely to our on-going documentary-based research in the field, not least that pertaining to the professionalization of RE teachers.<sup>52</sup>

We acknowledge that the metaphor used above is imperfect. Our contention is that oral life history creates a window into the world of RE, but each analytical theme could be considered a window in its own right. Similarly, to refer to the singular world of RE may suggest an undue homogenization of the experiences of RE teachers and/or a caesura between this and other worlds. Nevertheless, the metaphor has its strengths. We think it improves the literary quality and coherence of our presentation. When the metaphor is extended, it also befits our present methodological purposes because window glass is variable, being capable of refracting, reflecting and transmitting light, and being characterized by differing levels of transparency and opacity. Thus each characteristic of glass can be made analogous to differing onto-epistemological positions with regard to oral life history methodology.

#### *Professional identity and practice*

Oral life history opens a window into the world of RE and within that, the life and work of practitioners; as set out above, the narratives of these individuals have largely been marginal to the existing historiography of RE. Where they are included in the written record, there tends to be an inappropriate homogenization suggesting that 'teachers' can be treated as a single entity.<sup>53</sup> The window into the world

<sup>52</sup> See, for example, Parker, Freathy and Doney, 'The Professionalisation of Non-Denominational Religious Education in England'; Freathy, Parker, Schweitzer and Simojoki, 'Conceptualizing and Researching the Professionalization of Religious Education Teachers'; and Freathy, Parker, Schweitzer and Simojoki, 'Towards international comparative research on the professionalization of Religious Education'.

<sup>53</sup> For example: Adrian Bell, 'Agreed Syllabuses of Religious Education', in *Social Histories of the Secondary Curriculum: Subjects for Study*, ed. I. Goodson (London: Falmer Press, 1985); G. Parsons, 'There and back again? Religion and the 1944 and 1988 Education Acts', in *The growth of religious diversity: Britain from 1945*. Vol. 2, Issues, ed. G. Parsons (London: Routledge, 1994): 161-98; L.P. Barnes, 'Developing a new post-liberal paradigm for British religious education', *Journal of Beliefs & Values* 28, no. 1 (2007): 17-32; L.P. Barnes and A. Wright, 'Romanticism, representations of religion and critical religious education', *British Journal of Religious Education* 28, no. 1 (2006): 65-77.

1 of RE provided by oral life history reveals a rather different vista; here we highlight four particular  
2 facets.  
3  
4  
5

6 Firstly we discovered that, even though the relationship between worldview/faith position and  
7 professional practice is regularly articulated and re-articulated in scholarly literature (for instance, in  
8 this period, the teacher's prior commitment was problematized in favour of a posited neutrality in the  
9 classroom),<sup>54</sup> each individual had to negotiate these complex intersections for themselves, carving out  
10 their own path. They each articulated a subtly different kind of narrative, with different metaphors,  
11 which recount different spiritual/professional journeys. However, of the eighteen interviewees, eight  
12 were at one point or another ordained to Christian ministry, whilst a further four had undertaken  
13 significant ministry roles without ordination (encompassing overseas mission, Christian youth work and  
14 leading Bible study groups). All but one expressed a commitment to Christianity of some hue, even if  
15 no longer active, with the other describing a strong commitment to Buddhism. In this regard, our oral  
16 life history data can enrich understandings of the broader religious history of England and specifically  
17 that pertaining to the nature and extent of de-Christianization and religious pluralization.<sup>55</sup> Our data  
18 reveal, for example, some of the challenges presented by these wider socio-cultural processes to  
19 religious educators in particular, not least how they had to reconceive the relationship between their  
20 personal worldview/faith positions and the conceptions of multi-faith RE that were emerging. With  
21 regard to prior religious motivation, for instance, some interviewees were reticent, whilst others were  
22 more open and explicit about the role that their faith position played in their professional biographies  
23 and development:  
24

25 'I taught science and maths, moved on after a year. I felt called by God to  
26 switch tracks to become [an] RE specialist ... Christian motivation led [me]  
27 to consider [the] contribution that I could make as an RE specialist.'<sup>56</sup>  
28

29  
30  
31  
32  
33 Particularly for those who had been in church leadership, whether ordained or lay, the need to reconcile  
34 different understandings of ministry in relation to RE had also been necessary:

35 'in the early days of teaching I was committed to transmitting my faith; I  
36 hadn't sorted out the difference between Sunday school and community  
37 school teaching.'<sup>57</sup>  
38  
39

40 Similarly, some interviewees, whilst willing to disclose that there were religious motivations to their  
41 decisions, were reluctant to use the language of vocation. One suggested that he was brought back to the  
42 faith of his upbringing through the practice of 'teaching religion, justifying it, showing it was not a  
43 waste of time'.<sup>58</sup>  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

---

50 <sup>54</sup> See E. Hulmes, *Commitment and Neutrality in Religious Education* (London: Geoffrey Chapman,  
51 1979).

52 <sup>55</sup> Freathy and Parker, 'Secularists, Humanists and religious education'; Jeffrey Cox, 'Review: The  
53 Local Church and Generational Change in Birmingham, 1945–2000, by Ian Jones (Rochester, N.Y.,  
54 Boydell Press, 2012)', *The Journal of Interdisciplinary History* Vol. 44, No. 3, (2014): 412-414.

55 <sup>56</sup> Interview with Peter Lefroy-Owen, 29 May 2012.

56 <sup>57</sup> Interview with Julie Grove, 7 May 2010.

57 <sup>58</sup> Interview with John Hull, 26 March 2010.  
58  
59  
60



Likewise, the oral life history interviews allowed us to understand something of how these individual practitioners responded to the 'religious other'. This facet featured—to some extent—in all eighteen interviews; interviewees particularly considered their encounters with religious difference in relation to the ways in which they conceived of their practice as RE professionals. One interviewee described at length his own experience of Christmas in Bethlehem amongst Palestinians whilst on National Service, and explicitly linked this to his professional practice, expressing his aspiration that his pupils had a proper regard for those of another worldview.<sup>59</sup> Another (a committed Christian) described her startling first encounter with an artefact from Hinduism and how this experience related to her own faith position:

'I was confronted by images and ideas that I found very difficult to handle ... [there] was an enormous shrine figure of Ganesh and I was horrified by it. I thought I couldn't do this! On reflection I thought that my faith was the only right one, and I couldn't understand what people saw in other religions ... When we started trialling the [teaching resources], the first thing we [saw] was Ganesh... I learnt more about that image it fitted with my journey.'<sup>60</sup>

For others, their first encounter with the religious other was in the classroom:

'I can remember the first Hindu, we didn't have a Muslim ... We did have a Hindu boy and I remember saying it would be lovely if you could share with *us* something of *your* faith, *your* religion ... I realised later on that he tried to tell *us* the story of Rama and Sita, although his grasp of it was very limited, and the children found it very strange.'<sup>61</sup>

This extract also highlights the importance of analysis that extends beyond simple content. Here, the rhetorical structures deployed in describing the event highlight the polarization between 'them' and 'us'.<sup>62</sup> Similarly, the extract foregrounds the teacher's perception of his role as arbiter of the *accuracy* of the retelling of the story; it demonstrates a tension between the teacher's conception of his own authoritative subject-specific content knowledge,<sup>63</sup> and the personal knowledge presented by the pupil as an adherent of the faith community under study.

For some interviewees, exposure to the 'religious other' had happened earlier in life:

'Gateshead at the time [mid-1940s] was a multi-religious community, with a large Hassidic Jewish community ... I was always used to having friends who were Jewish. I'd cycle to Marsden for a dip in the sea, and you'd pass the Mosque on the way ... world religions have always been a part of my life, certainly since adolescence.'<sup>64</sup>

<sup>59</sup> Interview with Alan Loosemore, 25 March 2010.

<sup>60</sup> Interview with Julie Grove, 7 May 2010.

<sup>61</sup> Interview with Cecil Knight, 21 January 2010, emphasis added.

<sup>62</sup> See Edward Said, *Orientalism* (London: Penguin, 1978). Also, Ian Grosvenor, *Assimilating Identities: Racism and Educational Policy in Post 1945 Britain* (London: Lawrence and Wishart, 1997).

<sup>63</sup> Freathy, Parker, Schweitzer and Simojoki, 'Towards international comparative research on the professionalization of Religious Education'.

<sup>64</sup> Interview with Geoff Robson, 15 Oct 2010.

1 Additionally, in our data, we see teachers' responses to uncertain times and to wider discourses about  
 2 the marginalization of their subject. This led many of those interviewed to later pursue a commitment to  
 3 the subject with great energy and zeal. Through archive-based research, we are aware of the on-going  
 4 discussions about the place of RE in the school,<sup>65</sup> the shortage of subject specialists, and a general sense  
 5 of marginalization.<sup>66</sup> Our interviewees confirmed the impact of this on a personal level: religious  
 6 educators regularly felt that RE was best described as 'a Cinderella subject', with a division between  
 7 'experts' and 'grassroots people [who] were beleaguered and undervalued'. One interviewee recalled  
 8 that:  
 9

10  
 11 'You never knew which posts would be cut; [RE] had expanded but we didn't  
 12 know whether it was going to contract. RE teachers wanted more training but  
 13 you didn't know what budget there would be ... There was less room for the  
 14 radical, imaginative creative stuff.'<sup>67</sup>  
 15

16  
 17 Whilst another recollected that 'In the secondary schools there was too much teaching RE by timetable;  
 18 ... although you are a woodwork teacher, there is some RE that needs to be taught'.<sup>68</sup>  
 19

20  
 21 By using oral life history to open a window into the world of RE, various facets of the area of  
 22 professional identity and practice become visible, enabling us to understand practice in the RE  
 23 classroom (and the preoccupations and concerns of practitioners) in ways that are virtually impossible  
 24 when restricted to archival sources. For example, within a context where many 'teachers felt that they  
 25 hadn't got the materials [they needed] and the textbooks publishers were providing were irrelevant', we  
 26 were told about the introduction of a range of multi-media resources, in contrast to 'school[s] whose RE  
 27 equipment consisted of a pile of dusty Authorised Version Bibles in a cupboard'.<sup>69</sup> The move from  
 28 purple-inked stencil duplicators to photocopiers, the use of 16mm projectors to watch a wide variety of  
 29 film strips, and the purchase, at the teacher's own expense, of a reel-to-reel tape recorder all increased  
 30 the number of directions in which RE lessons could develop. The use of audio equipment, for example,  
 31 allowed one teacher to 'record a lot of interesting stuff on radio; stuff on mind changing drugs which I  
 32 used in class and also for drama',<sup>70</sup> whilst another introduced songs by The Beatles as catalysts for  
 33 discussion, on one occasion using 'She's Leaving Home' as a link to the Prodigal Son story.<sup>71</sup> These  
 34 examples of curriculum-in-use evidence the restricted vision provided through the vista of the formal  
 35 curriculum.  
 36  
 37  
 38  
 39  
 40

41 Thus, our interviews reveal a complex melange of issues relating to teacher's professional identity and  
 42 practice, from internal ideological tensions, to the type of classroom technology available.  
 43

#### 44 *Curriculum development*

45  
 46  
 47  
 48 <sup>65</sup> Freathy and Parker, 'Secularists, Humanists and religious education'.

49 <sup>66</sup> For example, H. Marratt, *Recruitment, Employment and Training of Teachers of Religious Education*  
 50 (London: British Council of Churches, 1971); Department of Education and Science, *Survey of*  
 51 *Religious Education in Secondary Schools in Lancashire*. Confidential Report by H.M. Inspectors,  
 52 DS38/78 (London: Department of Education and Science, 1973).

53 <sup>67</sup> Interview with Margaret Halsey, 18 April 2013.

54 <sup>68</sup> Interview with Geoff Robson, 15 October 2010.

55 <sup>69</sup> Interview with Geoff Robson, 15 October 2010.

56 <sup>70</sup> Interview with Cecil Knight, 21 January 2010.

57 <sup>71</sup> Interview with Geoff Robson, 15 October 2010.  
 58  
 59  
 60

Another area of the world of RE revealed through the window of oral life history interviews was curriculum development. In this regard, oral life history has the *potential* to yield insights that fundamentally overthrow or undermine the existing historiography. However, in our case, this potential was not realised; rather our findings generated different perspectives on this historiography. For instance, a number of authors have written about the history of RE Agreed Syllabuses (The 1944 Education Act, prescribed that in county schools, Religious Instruction was to be defined by an Agreed Syllabus prepared or adopted by a local conference consisting of four committees which represented religious denominations, the Church of England, teacher associations and the LEA. These committees had to reach unanimous agreement before the Agreed Syllabus could be adopted by the LEA)<sup>72</sup>. However, their analyses have been restricted to the final published document.<sup>73</sup> Through oral life history, it is possible to see what it was like to be a participant in the processes which led to the formation of the Committees and Syllabuses. Consequently, we begin to move away from a sanitized version of history, which foregrounds the resultant agreements and public statements of unity. Instead we can become more attentive to the messiness of Agreed Syllabus Committee politics and processes; the power dynamics and tensions between different groups and individuals; and the widening of the constituency of stakeholders to include representatives from non-Christian faith communities. Thereby, we become better able to see how the political and administrative processes interacted with the personal in curriculum history. Here we concentrate on three facets.

As we have argued elsewhere, Agreed Syllabus documents are often more aspirational than representative of classroom practice.<sup>74</sup> The type of data revealed by our oral life history interviews helps us develop a clearer picture of the actualities of the situations within which Agreed Syllabuses were formulated, not least the discrepancies between legal obligations and local practices. Further, the data also helps us develop a better understanding of the processes that operate between the different levels of the curriculum.<sup>75</sup> For example, one interviewee reported that ‘there was no requirement that I followed the [Agreed] syllabus [but was encouraged to] devise a syllabus of my own making’,<sup>76</sup> whilst another states:

‘I was completely ignorant of the structure ... in those days you closed your door and did what you wanted. ... It was a revelation to me that RE was determined by people locally.’<sup>77</sup>

<sup>72</sup> See Education Act 1944, 7 & 8 GEO. 6. Chapter 31, Part II, Section 29(4) and Fifth Schedule, (London: HMSO), [[http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1944/31/pdfs/ukpga\\_19440031\\_en.pdf](http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1944/31/pdfs/ukpga_19440031_en.pdf) (accessed 18 August 2011).]

<sup>73</sup> Bell, *Agreed Syllabuses of Religious Education*; Jack Priestley, ‘Agreed Syllabuses: Their History and Development in England and Wales 1944-2004’. In *International Handbook of the Religious, Moral and Spiritual Dimensions in Education*. Part 2., eds. M. de Souza, K. Engebretson, G. Durka, R. Jackson, and A. McGrady (Dordrecht: Springer, 2006): Ch. 70, 1001-1017; Doney, ‘That would be an ecumenical matter’.

<sup>74</sup> Research over a period has shown that Agreed Syllabuses are not always implemented in practice, for example: University of Sheffield Institute of Education, *Religious Education in Secondary Schools* (London: Thomas Nelson and Sons Ltd, 1961); Parker and Freathy, ‘Context, complexity and contestation’.

<sup>75</sup> See above discussion of Labaree, ‘The chronic failure of curriculum reform’.

<sup>76</sup> Interview with Ian Birnie, 4 April 2013.

<sup>77</sup> Interview with Julie Grove, 7 May 2010.

1 This was not just an issue at school level; a newly qualified teacher described his request to the Local  
 2 Education Authority (LEA) ‘for a copy of the Agreed Syllabus that I should teach ... they were shocked  
 3 when I explained that they published it’.<sup>78</sup> Another depicted the preference of the Chief Advisor in his  
 4 local authority to use an ‘alternative syllabus. [He] thought nothing of the syllabus that the agreed  
 5 syllabus conference had drawn up’.<sup>79</sup> Beyond this non-adoption of an Agreed Syllabus, there is also  
 6 some evidence of a reluctance to revise the documents:  
 7

8 ‘I was told at interview [for post of LEA Advisor] by the Director of Education:  
 9 “I will back you, but you are not to suggest that we change the Agreed Syllabus  
 10 because the experience of adopting the [current] Syllabus was an incredible shock  
 11 to me, I have never known so many backwards people come out of the woodwork.  
 12 It was appalling. ... I am not going through the process of revision. Once bitten,  
 13 twice shy.”<sup>80</sup>  
 14  
 15

16 Many of the interviewees had been involved in the development and implementation of Agreed  
 17 Syllabuses, and were very candid in their disclosures. It is clear that Agreed Syllabus Committees were  
 18 not always harmonious gatherings. Tensions were witnessed and recounted to us in interview, as were  
 19 power struggles between individual personalities keen to make their mark on the new syllabuses. One  
 20 interviewee recalls his first visit to a group he had been invited to lead:  
 21

22 ‘[he] was overtly hostile ... How dare the authority bring this person in to drive  
 23 our [work] ... Keep Out!! He eventually threw his toys out of the pram and  
 24 withdrew.’<sup>81</sup>  
 25  
 26

27 These were clearly not isolated occurrences; the same interviewee, recalling a conversation with a  
 28 different character, recalled:  
 29

30 ‘[He said to me] “your great strength was that you came and you listened to  
 31 these fierce debates and arguments ... people would get up and walk out, they  
 32 would say the most outrageous things, you used to sit and listen and out of all  
 33 this you used to come back the next week with something coherent, beautifully  
 34 written, rational, and you had disentangled all the threads and so we found  
 35 ourselves able to say yes, that is what we are probably after”.’<sup>82</sup>  
 36  
 37

38 We are also able to begin to consider the motivations that lay beneath some of these outbursts. A  
 39 number of interviewees recall an outspoken, and at times volatile, colleague;  
 40

41 ‘His role was interesting, [it] was constantly to challenge us on the issue of  
 42 whether we weren't reverting to the old Christian nurturing model of education  
 43 ... [he] fulfilled his role.’<sup>83</sup>  
 44

45 I remember him upsetting a primary teacher once who had worked very hard;  
 46 she got up and walked out of the room in tears. He had these outbursts, and [the  
 47 chairman] said to him quietly afterwards, “I think you need to go and  
 48  
 49  
 50  
 51

52 <sup>78</sup> Interview with John Hull, 26 March, 2010.

53 <sup>79</sup> Interview with Ian Birnie, 4 April 2013.

54 <sup>80</sup> Interview with Ian Wragg, 5 April 2013.

55 <sup>81</sup> Interview with Cecil Knight, 21 January 2010.

56 <sup>82</sup> Interview with Cecil Knight, 21 January 2010.

57 <sup>83</sup> Interview with Cecil Knight, 21 January 2010.  
 58  
 59  
 60

1 apologize". [He] looked a bit like a naughty boy ... he went out ... it was a big  
2 thing for [him].<sup>84</sup>

3 [His] aggression did not come from ... it wasn't a negative sort of aggression, it  
4 was an impatience with the closed minds of other people and this passion for  
5 truth and openness and fairness. Once you had recognized that in [him] you  
6 could live with him ... he did get up some people's noses, and he could be very  
7 rude.<sup>85</sup>

8 He was our biggest problem and our principal resource.<sup>86</sup>

9  
10  
11 The candour of these interviewees contrasts with the official rhetoric in government policy and local  
12 Agreed Syllabus documentation. Oral life history interviews reveal a level of debate and tension that is  
13 imperceptible in the published and archival evidence regarding Agreed Syllabuses. Historically, we  
14 want to know what the disagreements were and between whom. Oral life history enables us to get  
15 beneath the surface of the human interaction and political negotiation that form the discussions  
16 necessary to reach the agreement implied by the title of Agreed Syllabus. Through the information  
17 offered by our interviewees it becomes possible to begin to map these discussions in meaningful ways.  
18 For example, from documentary sources alone it is difficult to elicit the variety of ways in which it was  
19 attempted to ensure a range of religious traditions was represented on Agreed Syllabus Committees. In  
20 developing religiously-representative Agreed Syllabus content, 'one of the problems was the attempt  
21 (made quite fairly), to allow the religious groups to say what they thought should be taught about their  
22 particular faiths'.<sup>87</sup> During the earlier years of such attempts, in the 1960s, the emphasis appears to have  
23 been on ensuring that Agreed Syllabuses were 'accurate'. One interviewee describes the development of  
24 the 1966 Agreed Syllabus for West Riding,<sup>88</sup> highlighting particularly the section on Jewish Children  
25 and their religion:

26 'We had help from a lecturer in Education at Leeds University. He was a  
27 tremendous help, he had produced a number of books, his particular interest  
28 was Judaism.'<sup>89</sup>

29  
30  
31 The passing of the 1988 Education Reform Act formalised procedures for including representatives of  
32 non-Christian traditions on Agreed Syllabus Committees, although the practice had been well  
33 established for some years before.<sup>90</sup> One interviewee described in detail the different responses to his  
34 attempts to include such groups before such formal procedures were in place:

35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43 <sup>84</sup> Interview with Cecil Knight, 21 January 2010.

44 <sup>85</sup> Interview with Cecil Knight, 21 January 2010.

45 <sup>86</sup> Interview with John Hull, 26 March 2010.

46 <sup>87</sup> Interview with Geoff Robson, 15 Oct 2010.

47 <sup>88</sup> West Riding Education Committee, *Suggestions for Religious Education: West Riding Agreed  
48 Syllabus* (Wakefield: West Riding Education Committee, 1966).

49 <sup>89</sup> Interview with Alan Loosemore, 25 March 2010.

50 <sup>90</sup> Great Britain. *Education Reform Act 1988*: Elizabeth II. Chapter 40 (London: The Stationery Office,  
51 1989). Guidance issued in the 1970s specifically permits the 'inclusion of representatives of these  
52 religions on the Statutory Conference that draws up the syllabus'. Under this guidance, the term  
53 'denomination', previously understood as a sub-grouping of the Christian church, was now 'seen as  
54 capable of bearing the wider meaning of representatives from non-Christian faiths' ('Religious  
55 Education in the Schools of England and Wales', HMI Memo to Inspectors 3/75, issued by Her  
56 Majesty's Inspector of Schools, January 1975. The National Archive (TNA) ED 135-35 HMI Memos  
57 1975). See also Parker and Freathy, 'Context, complexity and contestation'.



1 'For the Muslims there were 110 Mosques, and as we approached the Agreed  
2 Syllabus revision we wanted to franchise the Muslims, Hindus and Buddhists. I  
3 chose to go around addressing public meetings to encourage [Muslims] to get  
4 involved in the design of the new syllabuses. I explained that I had no one to  
5 speak to on their behalf. [I asked] if [they] could form some sort of  
6 representative organization ... two weeks later ... they set up the Lancashire  
7 council of mosques. The Hindus didn't want to do that; they understood that I  
8 needed some kind of structure [so] they directed me to the Preston Hindu  
9 Temple; they nominated people in the Temple to be their representatives, rather  
10 than form a county wide [structure]; same with Buddhist and Jewish.'<sup>91</sup>  
11

12 We also see that the desire to ensure that the non-Christian representatives were heard could result in  
13 tensions within the Christian groupings:

14 'Often the Free churches [who, when combined with other faiths, had only one  
15 vote between them] lost their voice because they wanted the Hindu or the  
16 Muslim to be heard. The Church of England would dominate the Agreed  
17 Syllabus committee and then after it was agreed, turn around and use their own  
18 thing anyway.'<sup>92</sup>  
19

20  
21 Such historical details of the workings of Agreed Syllabus Conferences would be barely possible  
22 without the access provided by oral life history. Amongst other things, it becomes possible to start  
23 exploring the mechanics by which one level of the curriculum (the formal curriculum) interfaces with  
24 others (the rhetorical and the curriculum-in-use).<sup>93</sup> Further, this reveals what it might have meant to be a  
25 participant in a history that has already been told; in this case, the micro-political history of curriculum  
26 formation.  
27  
28

### 29 *Professional organizations*

30  
31 Lastly, through the window of oral life history we are granted a fuller view of the political landscape of  
32 RE and within it the role of professional organizations. Alongside curriculum development, as  
33 discussed above, the existing historiography is also predicated on the history of individual organizations  
34 and institutions. Much of the archive material used for these histories is of a 'vertical' nature, focusing  
35 almost entirely on the organization itself. Even where materials relate to relationships with other  
36 organizations and institutions, the viewpoint is generally that of the originating institution. In contrast,  
37 oral life history expedites 'horizontal' work; it facilitates a visualization of the terrain that lies *between*  
38 institutions, revealing how they inter-relate, the power struggles and competition between them.  
39  
40

41  
42 Here we restrict our discussions to the Christian Education Movement (CEM), with whom many of our  
43 interviewees had worked or had contact in some capacity. The history and role of CEM is described  
44 elsewhere.<sup>94</sup> We identify four particular facets where the oral life history approach has allowed us a  
45 clearer perspective on this history.  
46  
47

48  
49 Firstly, we are able to envisage the relationships between institutions and government. 'Off the record'  
50 discussions and consultations, by their nature, are absent from the documentary sources, of both  
51  
52

53 <sup>91</sup> Interview with Ian Birnie, 4 April 2013.

54 <sup>92</sup> Interview with John Sutcliffe, 5 March 2013.

55 <sup>93</sup> Labaree, 'The chronic failure of curriculum reform'.

56 <sup>94</sup> Parker *et al.*, 'The Professionalisation of Non-Denominational Religious Education in England:  
57 politics, organisation and knowledge'.  
58  
59  
60

individual organizations and governmental departments. Some of our interviewees, having been involved in such discussions, problematized the existing 'view', not just in terms of what was discussed, but in terms of the consequences of such associations. For example, one interviewee recalls an attempt at governmental interference:

'We wanted [this lady] to serve on [a CEM] Research Committee, through which we were able to access some government funding. It was all agreed ... but [she was linked to] St Martin's [which] had a Moral Education project going on at the time, which the Conservative government did not like. The morning after we had elected her ... a political advisor to the Secretary of State at the Department of Education [telephoned] saying that they really didn't think it was a very good idea, and would we like to change our minds about it? Well we didn't change our minds. We were a totally independent body.'<sup>95</sup>

Secondly, we are able to plot the relationships and tensions between organizations, particularly the way in which CEM responded to the emergence of new groups. In this regard the archive material tends to be rather guarded. Details can be found about what was finally resolved, but the process by which such resolution comes about tends to be hidden; our oral life history interviews can make visible otherwise unclear situations. For instance, much of the information shared with us foregrounded the efforts made by CEM to maintain its dominant position in the field, and suggesting that CEM felt under threat as a result of the emergence of a number of groups during our period of our interest. These included the National Association of Teachers of Religious Knowledge (NATORK), founded under the leadership of Miss Howlett in 1968,<sup>96</sup> the Association of Religious Education (ARE, est. 1968), the Religious Education Council of England and Wales (est. 1973), and the Association of Christian Teachers (ACT, est. 1971). To illustrate, CEM attempted to 'stop [ACT] coming into existence', feeling that the establishment of ACT 'was going to be deleterious' and was a cause of 'genuine worry' prompting a resurgence of effort by the CEM teacher's committee:

'As soon as [ACT] came into existence, [CEM] suddenly took off, doing all sorts of things it hadn't been doing before, perhaps trying to demonstrate that CEM was THE teachers association for RE teachers. It had national support from Council of Churches, etc, and a long, long history of involvement in education in general through ICE and SCMS to pre-war years.'<sup>97</sup>

Similar efforts to prevent the establishment of 'rival' groups were divulged in the discussion of other organisations. In respect of the development of ARE, we were told that the group was 'seen to be a bit of a threat to CEM, a strange, almost schizophrenic, split [arose] in CEM because of that'.<sup>98</sup> With regard to ARE and NATORK, it was stated:

'It became rather complicated. As well as being professional bodies ... they were of a more evangelical foundation. Eventually we mopped them both up. I don't mean that unkindly, they did become - after lots of negotiation - the professional part of CEM. It wasn't a matter of trying to sheep steal, but it was

<sup>95</sup> Interview with John Sutcliffe, 5 March 2013.

<sup>96</sup> On Miss Howlett see: Parker and Freathy, 'Ethnic Diversity, Christian Hegemony'; Freathy *et al.*, 'Raiders of the Lost Archives'; Parker *et al.*, 'The Professionalisation of Non-Denominational Religious Education in England'.

<sup>97</sup> Interview with Peter Lefroy-Owen, 29 May 2012.

<sup>98</sup> Interview with Bob Langley, 19 April 2013.

1 about drawing together resources for RE so that when we went to the  
2 Government we could say that by and large we represent the RE  
3 community.<sup>99</sup>  
4

5  
6 In contrast, activists involved in the establishment of ARE saw it fulfilling a different role from CEM:

7 ‘We regarded ourselves as parallel, not trying to duplicate what CEM were  
8 doing ... but more a representative group for RE teachers to deal with LEAs.  
9 Part of the motivation for ARE, not that we thought it at the time, was to try to  
10 have a genuinely neutral body that did not discuss theology, but did discuss  
11 teaching, and could contain within it people of quite different viewpoints, but  
12 had in common that they were professionally trained and practicing RE  
13 teachers.’<sup>100</sup>  
14  
15

16  
17 Those involved in these events report that, unbeknown to those opposed to the establishment of ARE,  
18 their efforts:

19 ‘stirred up a great deal of antagonism that added to our burden enormously; we  
20 kept getting fairly high level pressure being put on us from the Federation of  
21 Free Churches ... also from [a] Professor of Education and others. At the  
22 second meeting, [he] stood up and said he had copies of ten letters from  
23 influential people saying that this association should not be formed. He had  
24 been sent as a delegate to try and stop this organization coming into existence  
25 so we did have forewarning that there was a problem brewing, but we didn't  
26 know the extent of it at that time ... There were concerted efforts to try and  
27 stop ARE being formed at all at quite a high level. This came from CEM.’<sup>101</sup>  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 The interactions revealed by our interviewees range beyond inter-group tensions. Accounts of the first  
33 meeting of NATORK, for instance, demonstrate the extent to which some houses were divided within  
34 themselves. One interviewee recounted his role in this subterfuge and politicking. At the first meeting of  
35 NATORK, the agenda tabled by Miss Howlett (chair), was supplanted by an alternative agenda of his  
36 devising, circulated to the committee, but not to Miss Howlett. This alternative was tabled on the basis  
37 that hers might lead to the establishment of a group that:

38 ‘would not be along the lines of a professional association, but more a defence  
39 and attack committee on behalf of the 1944 settlement for the teaching of RE in  
40 schools and the act of worship.’<sup>102</sup>  
41  
42  
43

44 He went on to report:

45 ‘We had a general discussion. Miss Howlett felt that it was slipping out  
46 of her grasp and going in a direction she hadn't envisaged. She was very  
47 pleasant and apologetic and said she should withdraw and continue with  
48 what her vision was.’<sup>103</sup>  
49  
50  
51  
52

53 <sup>99</sup> Interview with John Sutcliffe, 5 March 2013.

54 <sup>100</sup> Interview with Peter Lefroy-Owen, 29 May 2012.

55 <sup>101</sup> Interview with Peter Lefroy-Owen, 29 May 2012.

56 <sup>102</sup> Interview with Peter Lefroy-Owen, 29 May 2012.

57 <sup>103</sup> Interview with Peter Lefroy-Owen, 29 May 2012.  
58  
59  
60

Such internal wrangling seen from an individual perspective begs questions about the operationalized, perhaps even gender-based, power differentials amongst and across groupings of religious educators, and provides a critical dimension that is not always (if ever) evident in the existing historiography and which may only have become evident through oral life history.

The findings presented above represent an initial analysis of the existing data. As such they have provoked a series of methodological reflections which need to be considered prior to any further and subsequent analysis of the same data set or, indeed, the collection of further data. We recognize that we could have applied any one (or more) of an array of interpretative frameworks. For example, a post-structural framework could have been applied to the interview data allowing exploration of agency and subjectivity;<sup>104</sup> an approach centring on individual learning journeys could have been employed;<sup>105</sup> or an approach linking biography with an author's theoretical framework and identity formation.<sup>106</sup> Similarly, political interpretations focusing on stakeholders and pressure groups,<sup>107</sup> or approaches relating to generational studies could all have been applied.<sup>108</sup> The rich data that we have gathered can—and we hope will—be appraised and discussed from a variety of perspectives, highlighting the fact that the method is not limited to one paradigmatic approach.<sup>109</sup>

In the section that follows, we focus briefly on some of the methodological matters that this work has raised for us, considering the issues of historical truth; the nature of memory/memories; the relationship between past and present; and the implications of these reflections for oral life history practice, particularly in terms of whose story we are attempting to narrate.

### Methodological reflections

Our experience leads us to concur with Philip Gardner,<sup>110</sup> in regarding historical 'truth' as being dynamic, open to multiple (and, arguably, equally valid) interpretations. Likewise, as Mikhail Bakhtin observes, historiography is unfinalizable;<sup>111</sup> as new evidence emerges (or is generated, in the case of

<sup>104</sup> See, for example, Michael L. Fitzhugh and William H. Leckie Jr., 'Agency, Postmodernism, and the Causes of Change', *History and Theory*, Theme Issue 40 (2001): 59-81; Deborah Youdell, 'Subjectivation and Performance Politics - Butler Thinking Althusser and Foucault: Intelligibility, Agency and the Raced-nationed-religioned Subjects of Education', *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 27, no. 4 (2006): 511-528.

<sup>105</sup> For example, Jacobs *et al.*, 'Learning lives and alumni voices'; Andrea Jacobs and Camilla Leach, 'Teacher training and the public good: the University of Winchester Alumni Project', *History of Education* 40, no. 2 (2011): 213-28.

<sup>106</sup> For example: Avest, 'On the Edge'; Kuhn, 'Family Secrets'.

<sup>107</sup> For example: Bethany L. Rogers 'Teaching and Social Reform in the 1960s: Lessons From National Teacher Corps Oral Histories', *Oral History Review* 35, no. 1 (2008): 39-67.

<sup>108</sup> For example: Peter Ester, "'It Was Very, Very Churchy": Recollections of Older Dutch-Americans on Growing Up in Holland, Michigan', *The Oral History Review* 35, no. 2 (2008): 117-138; Ian Jones, *The Local Church and Generational Change in Birmingham, 1945-2000*. (Woodbridge: Boydell & Brewer Ltd, 2012).

<sup>109</sup> For a discussion of one critical, multi-perspectival and mixed-methods 'bricolage' approach, see: Rob Freathy, Stephen Parker and Jonathan Doney. 'Raiders of the Lost Archives: Searching for the Hidden History of Religious Education in England', in *History, Remembrance and Religious Education*, eds. S. G. Parker, R. Freathy and L. J. Francis (Oxford: Peter Lang, 2014): 1-19.

<sup>110</sup> Philip Gardner, *Hermeneutics, History and Memory* (Abingdon: Routledge, 2010).

<sup>111</sup> Mikhail Bakhtin, *Problems of Dostoevsky's Poetics* (Minneapolis: University of Minneapolis Press, 1984): 166.

oral life histories) revisions to the historiography become necessary. In partnership with written documentary evidence, such co-constructed oral life histories as sources of evidence, validate individual biography and agency as part of the historical record. This co-construction (between interviewer and interviewee) underlines that history has multiple voices (*heteroglossia*). When extended to the relationship between spoken sources and written sources (both ‘transcriptions’ of spoken sources and those written temporally nearer the events being described), this multiplicity of tongues is even more pronounced.<sup>112</sup>

In our consideration of the issue of memory/memories, we have become even more aware than when we began, that the reconstruction of memories is a complex field. We sense a burden of responsibility upon our shoulders to be as mindful of the circumstances under which our oral sources are produced, and the audience for which they are rehearsed, as we are when working with documentary archive materials.<sup>113</sup> We find a significant discussion about restructuring and reordering memories within the wider literature.<sup>114</sup> For example, Jens Brockmeier refers to memory as ‘the warehouse of the past’,<sup>115</sup> a construction that suggests, we believe, that ‘biographical talk will be consistent from one telling to another because the same memory is being retrieved’.<sup>116</sup> In contrast, through ongoing processes of restructuring and reordering, on both conscious and sub-conscious levels, we sense that the oral testimonies gathered here are subject to a redrafting process in similar ways to written sources,<sup>117</sup> whether through

the repetitions, representations and commemorations of collective memory or through the more specific moment of recollection where a seldom visited corner of memory is suddenly recalled with a timeless immediacy, often evoking statements such as ‘I can see it now’.<sup>118</sup>

Whilst many of these issues are as pertinent to documentary research, we continue to strive for a better understanding of the relationships between memories of events and historical events themselves, something which is unclear and which varies between individuals,<sup>119</sup> being affected by factors such as age, and the interval between the event and the recall of it.<sup>120</sup> Further, the extent to which interviewees’ recollection of ‘curriculum-in-use’ is affected by their recollections of the ‘official’ curriculum is a complex issue. We reminded ourselves frequently, and now remind the reader, that we have not

---

<sup>112</sup> For discussion of the relationship between spoken sources and the written records of them, see Philip Gardner and Peter Cunningham, ‘Oral History and Teachers’ Professional Practice: a wartime turning point?’, *Cambridge Journal of Education* 27, no. 3 (1997): 331-42.

<sup>113</sup> Gardner and Cunningham, ‘Oral History and Teachers’ Professional Practice’.

<sup>114</sup> Popular Memory Group, ‘Popular memory: theory, politics, method’, in *Making Histories: studies in history-writing and politics*, eds. R. Johnson *et al.* (London; Hutchinson, 1982): 205-252.

<sup>115</sup> Jens Brockmeier, ‘Remembering and forgetting: narrative as cultural memory’. *Culture & Psychology* 8, no. 1 (2002): 15-43, 17.

<sup>116</sup> Taylor, ‘One participant said ...’, 392.

<sup>117</sup> Gardner and Cunningham, ‘Oral History and Teachers’ Professional Practice’.

<sup>118</sup> Popular Memory Group, ‘Popular memory’, 339.

<sup>119</sup> M. Stephenson, ‘Timeless projects: remembering and voice in the history of education’, *History of Education Review* 37, no. 2 (2008): 3-14; E. Domanska, ‘Frank Ankersmit: from narrative to experience’, *Rethinking History* 13, no. 2 (2009): 175-195; Jacobs *et al.*, ‘Learning lives and alumni voices’.

<sup>120</sup> Peter Coleman, ‘Ageing and life history: the meaning of reminiscence in late life’. In S. Dex (ed), *Life and Work. History Analyses: Qualitative and Quantitative Developments* (London: Routledge, 1991): 120-43.



collected verbatim accounts of exactly what happened in the past, but rather, we have gathered present reconstructions of past events.<sup>121</sup> ‘However much [interview data] proclaim the past to us, they originate in the present, as the reflections of [interviewees] recalling distant experiences’.<sup>122</sup> Here we find informative the Indigenous Australian comprehension of what we call ‘history’ being understood as ‘remembering’.<sup>123</sup>

We thus accept that oral life history accounts should not be interpreted:

simply as evidence, which places the historian in the role of expert, nor as literature, which makes them marginal for history’s purpose of establishing what happened in the past, but as contributions to historiography in their own right.<sup>124</sup>

We have also been prompted to reflect on the relationships between the ‘past’ and the ‘present’. Gary McCulloch suggests that an accurate historical map is essential for the development of an ‘historical framework in which to locate and judge current educational policies’,<sup>125</sup> enabling the historian to be in a position to ‘address contemporary educational problems’,<sup>126</sup> he describes this as the ‘useable past’.<sup>127</sup> Our experience, particularly our reflections on the nature of memory as ‘present remembering’, leads us to suggest an extension to McCulloch’s notion; we agree with the principle that current debates must be informed by history, and find the notion of ‘history as present’ to be helpful,<sup>128</sup> with a realisation that history is written in, and for, the present.

The implications for the practice of oral life history research are far reaching. In discussing our project with colleagues, there has been much deliberation about the validity of data gathered through interviews. We note that interviews can produce ‘apparently inconsistent or contradictory accounts’<sup>129</sup> whereby ‘subjects sometimes act up ... adopt different masks [and] forge their own signatures’.<sup>130</sup> Interviewees can ‘deflect researchers’ agendas’,<sup>131</sup> perhaps because concerns are not always shared, nor understandings of when a particular period begins and ends.<sup>132</sup> Interviews are never ‘pure’ and free from

<sup>121</sup> McLeod and Thomson, *Researching social change*.

<sup>122</sup> Gardner and Cunningham, ‘Oral History and Teachers’ Professional Practice’, 338.

<sup>123</sup> John Bradley, Phillip Adgemis, and Luka Haralampou, “‘Why Can’t They Put Their Names?’: Colonial Photography, Repatriation and Social Memory’, *History and Anthropology* 25, no.1 (2013): 47-71.

<sup>124</sup> R. Kennedy, ‘Stolen Generations testimony, trauma, historiography, and the question of “truth”’, *Aboriginal History* 25 (2001): 116-131, 117.

<sup>125</sup> Gary McCulloch, *The struggle for the history of education* (London: Routledge, 2011): 59.

<sup>126</sup> McCulloch, *The struggle for the history of education*; W. Robinson, ‘The struggle for the history of education, by Gary McCulloch - review 3’. *History of Education* 41, no. 5 (September 2012): 706-7.

<sup>127</sup> McCulloch, *The struggle for the history of education*, 58. McCulloch’s approach does not find universal support; see for example Robinson, *The struggle for the history of education*.

<sup>128</sup> See, for example, Walter Benjamin, *Theses on the Philosophy of History*. (New York: Illuminations, 1968); Ian Iyue, *The Temporality of Language: Kant’s Legacy in the Work of Martin Heidegger and Walter Benjamin*. (Unpublished PhD Thesis, Warwick University, 1995)

<sup>129</sup> Watson, *Unreliable narrators?*, 370.

<sup>130</sup> Maggie McLure, *Discourse in Educational and Social Research* (Buckingham: Open University Press, 2003): 171.

<sup>131</sup> McLure, *Discourse in Educational and Social Research*, 171.

<sup>132</sup> See Gardner and Cunningham, ‘Oral History and Teachers’ Professional Practice’.

interviewer influence,<sup>133</sup> and are best considered a process by which ‘[t]he interviewer and respondent collaborate in the construction of a narrative’.<sup>134</sup>

We have used historical enquiry here, in part, to develop a more nuanced understanding of the historical period in which contemporary RE was formed, particularly the processes and pressures involved. This in turn offers potential to illuminate present discussions centring on the marginalization of RE, the confusion over the nature and purpose of the subject, gaps in training for those teaching the subject and the impact of wider education policy on RE.<sup>135</sup> Each of these current issues has an historical background and context, an accurate awareness of which potentially enriches present discussions. In particular we believe that an historical understanding of how contemporary RE theories, policies and practices have developed can illuminate longer-term, broader and philosophical issues, add depth and range to our understanding of the present, temper a tendency to see contemporary challenges as entirely novel,<sup>136</sup> and provide us with hope: ‘[t]here is, perhaps, no more liberating influence than the knowledge that things have not always been as they are and need not remain so’.<sup>137</sup>

### Conclusion

In this paper we have demonstrated that oral life history can be used to enrich the historiography of RE; in doing so, we have established its potential to enrich the history of education more generally. By opening a new window into the world of RE, oral life history has shown its potential to reveal (from the point of view of the actors involved) things that were otherwise hidden, give new insights, and capture processes, debates, and practices that documentary sources alone cannot. Specifically, we have highlighted three areas in which oral life history enriches the historiography of English RE: professional identity and practice, curriculum development, and professional organizations. Even our brief recounting of the content of identified interview themes shows how the method can illuminate and detail the operational dynamics of RE at an (inter-)personal and organizational level. The method has revealed matters that have been marginal to, or excluded from, the historical record, providing insights into areas which other types of source cannot, and confirming and contrasting with knowledge that has been gleaned from primary published and unpublished documentary sources. Moreover, analysis of our interviews reveals, at a personal level, how the significant changes made to the RE curriculum, at a time of demonstrable social (including religious) change, impacted upon a cohort of religious educationalists (who later became leaders in the field), serving to shape their faith and professional identity at an intimate level. In short, the collected personal, religious and professional narrative accounts represented by our interviews enrich our understanding of the changes occurring within RE over a sustained period, adding a human dimension to the historiography.

---

<sup>133</sup> J. Powney, and M. Watts, *Interviewing in Educational Research* (London: Routledge, 1987); T. Rapley, ‘The Art(fulness) of Open-ended Interviews: Some Consideration on Analysing Interviews’, *Qualitative Research* 1, no. 3 (2001): 303-23; Watson, *Unreliable narrators?*

<sup>134</sup> Jacobs *et al.*, ‘Learning lives and alumni voices’, 220.

<sup>135</sup> Ofsted, *Religious Education - Realising the Potential* (Manchester: Ofsted, 2013); All Party Parliamentary Group for Religious Education, *RE: The Truth Unmasked: The Supply of and Support for Religious Education Teachers* (London: All Party Parliamentary Group for Religious Education and The Religious Education Council of England and Wales, 2013).

<sup>136</sup> Freathy and Parker, ‘The necessity of historical inquiry in educational research: The case of Religious Education’.

<sup>137</sup> Brian Simon, ‘The History of education’. In *The study of education*, ed. J. W. Tibble (London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1966): 91-131, 92.

1 Further, we have also demonstrated, by relating the method to some underpinning theoretical  
2 foundations, that the approach is methodologically robust. We have foregrounded the importance of  
3 methodological clarity and attentiveness to ethical issues; highlighted issues relating to the dynamic  
4 nature of historical 'truth'; and emphasized the complex relationship between events, memories and the  
5 reconstruction of the past in the present, and reflected on the role of the researcher in the retelling of  
6 other's narratives.  
7

8  
9  
10 This exploratory project has demonstrated the potential of oral life history to expose things that were  
11 previously hidden, thus allowing us to chart new territories and map familiar terrains in innovative ways.  
12 With this topography now exposed, we can consider undertaking more detailed and focused research in  
13 particular areas. From the initial analysis of the interviews, it is clear that there are a number of areas for  
14 further work. For example, current debates around issues of contemporary importance can all be  
15 informed by having a more detailed and nuanced understanding of how they have been dealt with  
16 historically, for example, the interaction between personal beliefs and values and professional identity  
17 and practice; issues of professional development and professional status; recruitment, training and  
18 retention of teachers; issues relating to implementation of curriculum change; and relationships between  
19 professionals and practitioners and other stakeholders.  
20  
21

22  
23 For many of our interviewees, their memories live on in their own minds, they have influenced and  
24 continue to influence the thinking of others. The stories religious educationalists tell about their  
25 personal and professional lives affect the nature and purpose of the subject in the present, the way the  
26 profession organizes and develops itself, and so on. This history is not dead and buried, but living with  
27 us now. Our work tells us about the past in the present and the affect that it has. Beyond these issues,  
28 there is also considerable potential for further work in developing knowledge about how different  
29 groups have related to each other historically, perhaps informing present issues in terms of debates over  
30 the *ownership* of RE.<sup>138</sup>  
31  
32

### 33 **Acknowledgements**

34  
35 This research was supported by The British Academy (Ref. SG-54151) and The Westhill Endowment  
36 Trust. The authors would also like to thank all the interviewees who participated.  
37  
38

### 39 **Notes on the contributors**

40  
41 Jonathan Doney is a Research Fellow at the University of Exeter and an Associate Lecturer at the  
42 University of Winchester. His first degree was in Theological Studies (Bristol), and his Masters is in  
43 Educational Research (Exeter). His doctoral work, funded by the ESRC and supervised by Drs Rob  
44 Freathy and Deborah Osberg, centred on the interrogation of policy development in English RE,  
45 focusing on the relationships between the Ecumenical movement and the adoption of the study of world  
46 religions during the 1960s and 1970s, and his wider research interests are in methodological innovation,  
47 critical studies, and the role of education in developing identity. He is co-editor of *History of Education*  
48 *Researcher*.  
49

50  
51 Stephen Parker is Professor of the History of Religion and Education at the University of  
52 Worcester. He has authored and edited numerous books, book chapters and journal articles on the  
53 histories of religion and education and their intersections, including *History, Remembrance and*  
54

---

55  
56 <sup>138</sup> See, for example, Charles Clark and Linda Woodhead, *A New Settlement: Religion and Belief in*  
57 *Schools*, (Westminster Faith Debates. <http://faithdebates.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/A-New-Settlement-for-Religion-and-Belief-in-schools.pdf>, accessed 10<sup>th</sup> February 2016).  
58  
59  
60

1 *Religious Education* (Oxford, 2014) and the *Bloomsbury Reader in Religion and Childhood* (London,  
2 2017). He is Editor of the *Journal of Beliefs and Values*, and lead Editor for the Peter Lang series on  
3 Religion, Education and Values. He is currently leading a Leverhulme Trust-funded project on religious  
4 educational broadcasting.  
5

6 Rob Freathy is Associate Professor of History of Education at the University of Exeter. He has  
7 authored and edited numerous books, book chapters and journal articles on religious education, taking  
8 both historical and contemporary perspectives, including *The Art of Bible Reading* (Buxhall, 2014) and  
9 *History, Remembrance and Religious Education* (Oxford, 2014). He is Book Reviews Editor for *History*  
10 *of Education*, co-editor of *History of Education Researcher* and an Editorial Board member for the  
11 *British Journal of Religious Education*. He is currently directing a project on critical, dialogic and  
12 enquiry-based Religious Education funded by the Culham St. Gabriel's Trust.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60