



Age paradox: youth athletes in adult tournaments

Item Type	Article (Accepted Version)
UoW Affiliated Authors	Holland, Christopher
Full Citation	Holland, Christopher , Jones, A., Lindsey Bevins, R. and Charles, H. (2026) Age paradox: youth athletes in adult tournaments. British Journal of Sports Medicine, Online (First). ISSN Print: 0306-3674 Online: 1473-0480
DOI/ISBN/ISSN	https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2026-112031
Journal/Publisher	British Journal of Sports Medicine BMJ Publishing Group
Rights/Publisher Set Statement	<p>© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2026. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ Group.</p> <p>This article has been accepted for publication in British Journal of Sports Medicine 2026 following peer review, and the Version of Record can be accessed online at https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2026-112031</p> <p>For the avoidance of doubt, this manuscript version is protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.</p> <p>Made available without an embargo period as per: https://www.bmj.com/company/wp-content/uploads/2025/07/Non-Open-Access-Articles-July-2025.pdf</p>
License	n/a
Link	https://bjsm.bmj.com/content/early/2026/04/27/bjsports-2026-112031.info

For more information, please contact wrapteam@worc.ac.uk

The Age Paradox: Youth Athletes in Adult Tournaments

Christopher J. Holland, School of Sport and Exercise Science, University of Worcester, Worcester, UK (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-8741-9562).

Ashley Jones, School of Health, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, UK (Orchid ID: 0000-0001-7805-8403).

Rachel L. Bevins, Sport and Health, Birmingham Newman University, Birmingham, UK (ORCID ID: 0000-0001-7673-3196)

Hollie Charles, Higher Education Sport, Loughborough College, Loughborough UK (ORCID ID: 0009-0003-1120-9854)

Introduction

The global intensity of modern sports has pushed youth athletes into competitions originally designed for fully mature adults. Recent Olympic Games have included athletes as young as 11, with 337 athletes aged 16 and under competing in summer or winter Olympic Games between London 2012 and Beijing 2022 [1]. This trend highlights the increasing presence and visibility of youth athletes on the global stage. Yet elite tournaments still feature dense schedules, minimal recovery, and relentless performance demands built for adult physiology, often fuelled by financial incentives. This incongruity between the pace of adolescent development and the demands of adult level competition presents a significant paradox. In this editorial, we discuss the challenges faced by youth athletes in adult tournaments and ask whether current frameworks sufficiently safeguard these young competitors' wellbeing and long-term development.

Youth Physiology: A Developmental Mismatch

During adolescence, the human body is still developing: growth plates are structurally vulnerable, neuromuscular systems are being refined, and hormonal profiles shift rapidly [2]. These changes can affect coordination, proprioception, and fatigue thresholds. Brain development is also ongoing, and repeated head impacts (heading in football and contact sport collisions) have raised concerns about long-term cognitive effects [3]. All of which compound the risk of injury during periods of rapid growth [4]. However, during elite tournaments, youth athletes are expected to perform at the same level as their adult counterparts. Mature athletes can absorb such loads with fully ossified bones, strong connective tissues, and established energy systems. In

preparation for, and during tournaments, the outcome is a higher risk of overuse injuries such as stress fractures, growth-plate inflammation, and tendon strains, especially when youths are subjected to training and competition volumes designed for adults [2,5]. For instance, youth gymnasts often train and compete year-round, highlighting the extreme physical demands placed on developing bodies. Youths are not simply “small adults” and their growing bones and cartilage cannot withstand the same repetitive stress, making them especially prone to overuse injuries if recovery time is inadequate [1,6,7]. These physiological realities are often overlooked in elite competition settings, where selection is based on performance rather than developmental readiness. Moreover, dense competition schedules compound these vulnerabilities, where repeated competitive bouts compress the recovery time young bodies need for repair. Fatigue builds up faster in youths, heightening the likelihood of form breakdown and injury [8]. The cumulative effect of insufficient recovery, and underdeveloped biomechanics can lead to chronic injury patterns that persist into adulthood. It is neither safe nor necessary to impose adult competition demands on youths. Delaying intense single sport specialisation and allowing broader athletic development through adolescence is associated with lower injury rates without hindering eventual elite performance [9]. In many sports, later specialisation correlates with greater success and longer careers [10].

Youth Psychology: Pressure Beyond Capacity

The psychological demands of adult level competition can easily overwhelm a developing athlete. Elite tournaments bring intense performance pressure, public scrutiny, and the risk of identity foreclosure when a young athlete’s self-worth hinges on sporting success. Such pressures often lead to burnout, emotional exhaustion, and loss of enjoyment, which devalues their achievements [11]. These pressures also raise safeguarding concerns, including instances of young athletes being pressured into using hormonal contraceptives to delay puberty or manage menstrual cycles for performance reasons, along with wider issues of interpersonal violence and maltreatment [12]. Unlike experienced athletes, youths typically lack the coping skills and resilience strategies to navigate these stresses, leaving them more vulnerable to anxiety, depression, or dropping out of sport when demands escalate. The social environment surrounding elite youth sport, including parental expectations, media attention, and the influence of coaches, can further intensify these pressures. Social

media can add further pressure, while sports betting can expose youth athletes to online abuse when performance affects outcomes. For early specialised athletes, whose identities are tightly linked to their sport, setbacks such as injury or non-selection can be psychologically devastating. Elite youth athletes experience mental health issues at similar rates to adult professionals, and early specialisation and an overly narrow athletic identity are key risk factors for burnout and poor well-being [11]. This is particularly concerning given the increasing trend of early talent identification and the commercialisation of young athletes. The pursuit of national and international performance pathways often requires youth athletes to relocate to residential sport academies, removing them from established familial support networks; these environments can restrict normal social engagement and increase vulnerability to social isolation and loneliness [1]. These pressures often coincide with disrupted education, as training and travel interfere with academic progress and social development. Burnout is now well documented, prompting calls for targeted interventions to protect young competitors' mental health and motivation [11]. The drive for early elite success can produce young stars who burn brightly but briefly peaking in adolescence only to have their careers cut short or their passion for sport extinguished.

Structural Gaps and the Way Forward

These issues expose a fundamental paradox in sport. Science based models advocate long-term athlete development and age-appropriate training, yet elite competition calendars and commercial incentives keep pulling younger athletes into adult competitions that are misaligned with their needs. Even if training programmes are adjusted for youth, young athletes are often required to perform through growth spurts and meet adult-level expectations in high-profile competitions. Safeguarding policies may exist, but in elite settings, youth athletes frequently endure the same pressures as older professionals. Protecting youth athletes requires consideration in the design of competition structures, rather than expecting them to conform to frameworks created for adults. Reforms could include mandating longer recovery periods between matches/events, capping the number of tournaments a minor can enter per year, and limiting total competition exposure to reduce extreme strain. Bio-banding can also be used as a maturity-based categorisation tool to guide tailored competition protections [13]. These approaches acknowledge that two athletes of the

same age may differ significantly in physical maturity, and that fairness and safety are best served by aligning by biological rather chronological age. Crucially, talent pathways and selection policies should prioritise long-term athlete development over immediate success. This may require a cultural shift in how success is defined and rewarded within youth sport. There is evidence that such measures work. For example, professional tennis introduced age eligibility rules in the 1990s to protect teenage players, and those who matured under the new system enjoyed longer careers with significantly fewer early retirements [1]. This demonstrates that sports organisations can balance competitive success with athlete well-being through thoughtful, development minded policies. Similar frameworks could be adapted across other sports to ensure that youth athletes are not only protected but also given the best chance to thrive over the long term.

Conclusion

As the age of elite sports participation trends downward, governing bodies must decide whether to reshape competitions to fit the athlete, rather than forcing the athlete to fit the tournament. Failure to implement these adjustments risks producing a generation of wunderkinds who peak early but ultimately fade under pressures their developing bodies and minds were never designed to endure. The “age paradox” of youth athletes in adult tournaments can only be resolved by viewing competition structures through a developmental lens. Youth athletes deserve environments that respect their pace of growth, safeguard their physical and mental wellbeing, and prioritise the longevity of their careers over short-term results. Embracing this approach will not diminish the success of the next generation but ensure those young athletes can thrive through a long, healthy sporting career.

References

- 1 Bergeron MF, Côté J, Cumming SP, *et al.* IOC consensus statement on elite youth athletes competing at the Olympic Games: Essentials to a healthy, safe and sustainable paradigm. *Br J Sports Med.* 2024;58:946–65. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2024-108186
- 2 Parry GN, Williams S, McKay CD, *et al.* Associations between growth, maturation and injury in youth athletes engaged in elite pathways: a scoping review. *Br J Sports Med.* 2024;58:1001–10. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2024-

108233

- 3 Brownlow M, Townsend DC, Varley I, *et al.* Heading in football matches: descriptive analysis of heading events from 687 matches across age groups in English Academy and First Team men's professional football. *Br J Sports Med.* 2025;59:1670–7. doi: 10.1136/BJSPORTS-2025-110804
- 4 Costa e Silva L, Teles J, Fragoso I. Sports injuries patterns in children and adolescents according to their sports participation level, age and maturation. *BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil.* 2022;14:1–9. doi: 10.1186/s13102-022-00431-3
- 5 Oxendale C, Green M, Stokes K, *et al.* Distal-to-proximal progression of apophyseal injuries with age in male youth academy footballers: a two-season prospective cohort study of 16,024 player-seasons. *Br J Sports Med.* 2025;59:1663–9. doi: 10.1136/BJSPORTS-2024-109612
- 6 Gabbett T. Training the Adolescent Athlete. *Sports Health.* 2022;14:11–2. doi: 10.1177/19417381211058410
- 7 Brenner JS, Watson A. Overuse Injuries, Overtraining, and Burnout in Young Athletes. *Pediatrics.* 2024;153. doi: 10.1542/peds.2023-065129
- 8 McLellan M, Allahabadi S, Pandya NK. Youth Sports Specialization and Its Effect on Professional, Elite, and Olympic Athlete Performance, Career Longevity, and Injury Rates: A Systematic Review. *Orthop J Sport Med.* 2022;10:1–10. doi: 10.1177/23259671221129594
- 9 Kliethermes SA, Nelson EO, Biese KM, *et al.* Development and validation of the Wisconsin Sport Specialization Questionnaire (WISSQ) for older adolescent athletes. *Br J Sports Med.* 2025;59:1135–42. doi: 10.1136/BJSPORTS-2024-108453
- 10 Gwyther K, Pilkington V, Bailey AP, *et al.* Mental health and well-being of elite youth athletes: a scoping review. *Br J Sports Med.* 2024;58:1011–9. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2024-108244
- 11 Wilczyńska D, Qi W, Jaenes JC, *et al.* Burnout and Mental Interventions among Youth Athletes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Studies. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022;19. doi: 10.3390/ijerph191710662
- 12 Tuakli-Wosornu YA, Burrows K, Fasting K, *et al.* IOC consensus statement: interpersonal violence and safeguarding in sport. *Br J Sports Med.* 2024;58:1322–44. doi: 10.1136/BJSPORTS-2024-108766

- 13 Otis CL, Hainline B, Harwood C, *et al.* Differences in career longevity before and after implementation of the Women's Tennis Association Tour Age Eligibility Rule and Player Development Programmes: a 25-year study. *Br J Sports Med.* 2022;56:955–60. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2021-104620

Competing Interests: None

Contributorship: All authors contributed to the conception of the editorial and the development of its central ideas. CH drafted the initial manuscript. All authors critically reviewed and amended subsequent drafts for important intellectual content. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work. CH is the guarantor for this manuscript and accepts full responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole.

Acknowledgements: N/A

Funding, grant award info: There are no funders to report for this submission

Ethical approval: Not applicable

Data sharing statement: Not applicable

Patient involvement: No