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Abstract

Objectives: To compare heat acclimation adaptations after three and six days of either post-exercise hot water immersion (HWI) or exercise-heat-acclimation (EHA) in recreationally active individuals.

Design: Randomised, mixed model, repeated measures.

Methods: Post-exercise HWI involved a daily 40-min treadmill-run at 65% \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{peak} \) in temperate conditions (19 °C, 45% RH) followed by HWI (±40 min, 40 °C water; \( n = 9 \)). Daily EHA involved a ±60-min treadmill-run in the heat (65% \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{peak} \); 33 °C, 40% RH; \( n = 9 \)), chosen to elicit a similar endogenous thermal stimulus to HWI. A thermoneutral exercise intervention (TNE, 19 °C, 45% RH; \( n = 9 \)), work-matched to EHA, was also included to determine thermoregulatory adaptations to daily exercise in temperate conditions. An exercise-heat-stress test was performed before and after three and six intervention days and involved a 40-min treadmill-run and time-to-exhaustion (TTE) at 65% \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{peak} \) in the heat (33 °C, 40% RH).

Results: ANCOVA, using baseline values as the covariate, revealed no interaction effects but significant group effects that compared to EHA, HWI elicited larger reductions in resting rectal temperature (\( T_rect; p = 0.021 \)), \( T_{sk} \) at sweating onset (\( p = 0.011 \)), and end-exercise \( T_{sk} \) during exercise-heat-stress (\( -0.47 °C; p = 0.042 \)). Despite a similar endogenous thermal stimulus to HWI, EHA elicited a modest reduction in end-exercise \( T_{sk} \) (\( -0.26 °C \)), which was not different from TNE (\( -0.25 °C, p = 1.000 \)). There were no main effects or interaction effects for end-exercise \( T_{sk} \), heart rate, physiological strain index, RPE, thermal sensation, plasma volume, or TTE (all \( p \geq 0.154 \)).


© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Sports Medicine Australia. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Practical implications

• Conventional short-term fixed intensity exercise heat acclimation initiates inconsistent and relatively modest thermal adaptations.
• Short-term post-exercise hot bath intervention initiates larger thermal adaptations compared with conventional exercise heat acclimation.
• Taking a hot bath submerged to the neck, for up to 40 min, following habitual training in temperate conditions, presents a practical and economical heat acclimation intervention—eliminating the requirement for an increased training load, access to an environmental chamber, or relocation to a hot climate.
• To facilitate adaptations from the post-exercise hot bath intervention, exposure to a large dual thermal stimulus (i.e. maintained elevation in both core temperature > 38.5 °C and skin temperature ~ 40 °C) is required.

1. Introduction

In preparation for competing or working in the heat, athletes, military personnel and occupational workers who reside in temperate conditions are advised to complete a period of heat acclimation.¹,² Heat acclimation adaptations, that improve endurance capacity and reduce susceptibility to exertional heat illness,³ include an earlier onset of cutaneous vasodilatation and sweating, an increase in sweating rate, and a reduction in resting and exercising body temperature.⁴,⁵ Recommendations to maximise adaptations are to complete ≥15 daily exercise heat acclimation exposures (long-term heat acclimation), which initiate profuse sweating and maintain an elevated body temperature for ≥60 min.⁶ However, protocols can be costly, impractical, ineffective as trained individuals are...
Participants

HWI vs. a 60-min treadmill run in the heat (65% VO\textsubscript{2}max, 40% RH). Pilot data demonstrated a similar AUC from post-exercise temperature at rest and during exercise-heat-stress following short-term exercise heat acclimation.\textsuperscript{11}

Post-exercise passive heating, such as sauna bathing\textsuperscript{12} and hot water immersion (HWI),\textsuperscript{13–15} provide alternative, more accessible and time efficient heat acclimation strategies compared to conventional exercise-based approaches. These exposures to hot air/water can be incorporated into normal training, e.g., HWI as part of a post-exercise washing routine, and may also support muscle recovery.\textsuperscript{16} Six days of post-exercise HWI presents a short-term heat acclimation strategy, which provides reductions in thermal strain that compare favourably with long-term interventions.\textsuperscript{11} HWI exposes individuals to a large dual thermal stimulus (i.e. elevated core and skin temperatures), which is purported to induce a more complete state of heat acclimation.\textsuperscript{17} Furthermore, exposure to high skin temperatures has been shown to accelerate heat acclimation adaptation in females.\textsuperscript{18}

The primary aim of the current study was to compare thermal adaptations from three and six days of post-exercise HWI with exercise heat acclimation (EHA) in recreationally active males. In addition, by including a work-matched thermoneutral exercise intervention (TNE), we investigated the individual contributions of daily submaximal exercise and heat stress to adaptation following EHA. We hypothesised that post-exercise HWI would accelerate the speed of adaptation compared to EHA, and that the benefits of EHA beyond that of TNE would be modest.

2. Methods

Participants

Twenty-seven recreationally active and non-heat-acclimatised males provided written informed consent to participate. Participants were matched for fitness characteristics in groups of three and randomly assigned to either HWI, EHA, or TNE (randomiser: see Table 1 for participant characteristics). HWI involved a 40-min treadmill-run in temperate conditions (19 °C) followed by hot water immersion (≤40-min, 40 °C water). To elicit a similar endogenous thermal stimulus to HWI (i.e. area under the curve, AUC, time and magnitude of temperature change), EHA involved a ≤60-min treadmill-run in the heat (33 °C, 40% RH). Pilot data demonstrated a similar AUC from post-exercise HWI\textsuperscript{12} vs. a 60-min treadmill run in the heat (65% VO\textsubscript{2peak}, 33 °C, 40% RH). We deemed it unnecessary to include a thermoneutral water immersion intervention as we have previously demonstrated that it provides no heat acclimation benefits.\textsuperscript{15} We did however include a thermoneutral exercise intervention (TNE) to account for the effect of daily submaximal exercise on thermoregulatory adaptations. To enable work-matching with EHA, TNE participants completed the same external work ≥1-day after EHA participants.

Fitness assessment

Participants completed a fitness assessment within a week before their first experimental trial. VO\textsubscript{2peak} was assessed using a continuous incremental exercise test performed on a motorised treadmill (HP Cosmos Mercury 4.0, Nussdorf-Traunstein, Germany) in a temperate laboratory (19 °C) to volitional exhaustion. VO\textsubscript{2peak} was determined as the highest oxygen uptake attained over a 30-s period. A running speed that elicited 65% VO\textsubscript{2peak} in temperate conditions was determined by the interpolation of the running speed–VO\textsubscript{2} relationship. Participants were then familiarised with the treadmill running speed and experimental trial procedures. As temperate training influences heat acclimation adaptations,' participants’ physical activity time (>3 METS) for the duration of the study was assessed (Fitbit Flex, San Francisco, USA).

Experimental trials

Participants completed three experimental trials: before and after three, and six days of their assigned intervention (Fig. 1). Twenty-four hours before experimental trials, participants refrained from exercise, alcohol, diuretics, and caffeine. Before the first experimental trial, participants completed a diet diary and replicated this food and fluid intake before subsequent experimental trials. To ensure a similar circadian pattern, participants were instructed to sleep between 2200-h and 0700-h before experimental trials; sleep duration and quality were confirmed (Actigraph wGT3X-BT, Actigraph, Pensacola, USA).

On the day of the experimental trials (Fig. 1), participants arrived at the laboratory at 0730-h and were provided with a standardised breakfast (2091 kJ, 71 g carbohydrate, 18 g fat, 17 g protein) and a bolus of water (7 mL·kg\textsuperscript{-1} of body mass). Following 20-min seated rest in temperate conditions (19 °C, 45% RH), participants completed the Profile of Mood States questionnaire\textsuperscript{19} to determine total mood disturbance and energy index (vigor–fatigue), to detect perceived training-induced fatigue. A venous blood sample was taken without stasis and total haemoglobin mass, blood volume, and plasma volume were assessed using the optimised carbon monoxide rebreathing technique.\textsuperscript{20} Briefly, aliquots of whole blood were used for the immediate determination of haemoglobin concentration (g·dl\textsuperscript{-1}), in duplicate (Hemoct, Sheffield, UK) and haematocrit (%), in triplicate (capillary tube method). Total haemoglobin mass was estimated from the percentage change in carboxyhaemoglobin concentration (ABL80 CO-OX Flex hemoximeter Radiometer; Copenhagen, Denmark) measured in duplicate from earlobe capillary blood samples collected before and after rebreathing a mixed bolus of (0.8 mL·kg\textsuperscript{-1} body mass) carbon monoxide (99.9%) and oxygen (3 L, 99.5%). Total haemoglobin mass, haemoglobin concentration and haematocrit (%) were used to calculate blood volume (mL; [haemoglobin mass / haemoglobin concentration] × 100) and red cell mass (mL; blood volume × [haematocrit / 100]) for the calculation of plasma volume (mL; =blood volume – red cell mass).\textsuperscript{20} A urine sample was analysed using a handheld refractometer (Atago Uricron-Ne refractometer, NSG Precision cells, New York, USA); exercise began when urine specific gravity was <1.03.\textsuperscript{21} A rectal thermost (Henleys Medical Supplies Ltd., Herts, UK), fitted 10 cm beyond the anal sphincter, and a data logger (YSI model 4000A; YSI, Dayton, Ohio, USA) provided a measure of rectal core temperature (T\textsubscript{r}). A pre-exercise nude body mass was recorded using a digital platform scale (Model 703; Seca, Hamburg, Germany). Skin thermosts (Grant EUS-U, Cambridge, UK) were attached on the right side of the body (on the chest at a midpoint between the acromion process and the nipple, the lateral mid-bicep, the anterior mid-thigh and lateral calf) and recorded using a data logger (Grant SQ2020, Cambridge, UK); mean skin temperature (T\textsubscript{sk}) was calculated using the following four-site weighted equation: T\textsubscript{sk} = 0.3

| Table 1 | Participant characteristics of post-exercise hot water immersion (HWI), exercise heat acclimation (EHA) and thermoneutral exercise (TNE). |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Age (years)** | HWI | EHA | TNE |
| 22 ± 3 | 20 ± 2 | 21 ± 2 |
| **Height (cm)** | 177 ± 5 | 181 ± 5 | 178 ± 6 |
| **Body mass (kg)** | 73 ± 7 | 74 ± 7 | 70 ± 7 |
| **VO_{2peak} (mL·kg\textsuperscript{-1}·min\textsuperscript{-1})** | 53 ± 6 | 54 ± 3 | 53 ± 4 |

Data are displayed as mean ± SD; n = 9, each group.
Following instrumentation, participants rested for a further 30 min in temperate conditions (19 °C, 45% RH) to establish baseline measures. At 0945-h, dressed in shorts, socks, and trainers, participants entered the environmental chamber (Delta Environmental Systems, Chester, UK; 33 °C, 40% RH; 0.2 m·s⁻¹ wind velocity) to complete a 40-min treadmill run at 65% VO₂peak. No fluids were consumed and Tₑr skin temperatures, and heart rate (Polar FT1, Polar Electro, Kempele, Finland) were monitored continuously. Local forearm sweat rate was measured by dew point hygrometry. Anhydrous compressed nitrogen was passed through a 5-cm² capsule affixed to the lower arm ventral surface (halfway between the antecubital fossa and carpus) and connected to a hygrometry system (DS2000; Alpha Moisture Systems, UK). Local forearm sweating rate was calculated using the difference in water content between effluent and influent air and the flow rate (1 L·min⁻¹), and normalised for the skin surface area under the capsule (expressed in milligrams per square centimetre per minute). Tₑr at sweating onset was determined by plotting the relationship between local forearm sweat rate and Tₑr (recorded at 20-s intervals) before using segmented linear regression to identify the breakpoint in the two line segments. Rating of perceived exertion (RPE), thermal sensation, oxygen uptake (VO₂) and respiratory exchange ratio (ERK), assessed by the Douglas bag method, were recorded every 10-min. On completion of the exercise, participants rested for 20 min in temperate conditions (19 °C, 45% RH), during which they completed a modified Stroop test, and provided a nude body mass to estimate whole-body sweat rate.

Participants then re-entered the environmental chamber and completed a time to exhaustion (TTE) on a motorised treadmill at 65% VO₂peak. Participants were instructed to “run for as long as possible”. TTE was terminated when participants stopped running owing to volitional exhaustion, thermal discomfort, or when Tₑr exceeded 39.5 °C. No fluids were consumed, no feedback was provided, and Tₑr and heart rate were monitored continuously. Following the cessation of exercise, capillary blood lactate concentrations were assessed (Lactate Pro 2M, Arkray, Australia) as a marker of short-term overreaching.

Participants were provided with a bolus of water and were free to leave the laboratory when Tₑr ≤ 38.5 °C.

**Daily intervention**

Each participant completed two, three consecutive day blocks of their assigned intervention (Fig. 1), during which they consumed their normal diet and fluid intake. Each day, participants arrived at the laboratory (0600-h and 1300-h), fitted a rectal thermistor to monitor Tₑr, and completed a 15-min seated rest in temperate conditions. Participants commenced their assigned intervention dressed in shorts, socks, and trainers. A bolus of water (5 mL·kg⁻¹ of nude body mass) was consumed during the first 20 min of exercise.

HWI involved a 40-min treadmill run in temperate conditions (65% VO₂peak; 19 °C, 45% RH; 0.2 m·s⁻¹ wind velocity) followed by a semi-recumbent ≤ 40-min hot water immersion (40 °C) to the neck, as described. EHA and TNE involved a 60-min treadmill run at the predetermined speed that reflected 65% VO₂peak (in temperate conditions) in hot (33 °C, 40% RH; 0.2 m·s⁻¹ wind velocity) or temperate conditions (19 °C, 45% RH; 0.2 m·s⁻¹ wind velocity). Intervention sessions were terminated if maximal immersion or exercise duration was reached, at the participant’s volition, or if Tₑr exceeded 39.5 °C.

**Statistical analysis**

A sample size estimation (G’Power 3.1.9) was performed using data from post-exercise HWI (−0.36 °C), exercise heat acclimation (−0.22 °C) and thermoneutral exercise (0.00 °C), with a pooled SD of 0.2 °C. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA; alpha = 0.05, power = 0.8, correlation = 0.7) estimated that eight participants per group were required to detect a difference in the change in end-exercise Tₑr between groups. However, following statistical advice during the review process, a two-way mixed-methods analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was considered the more appropriate and statistically powerful approach for comparing the effectiveness of interventions. To ensure adequate power and
allowing for dropout, nine participants per group were recruited. All data were checked for normality and sphericity, presented as mean and standard deviation (SD), and statistical significance was accepted at \( p < 0.050 \). Uncertainty in the true (population) values of effects is presented as 95% confidence intervals (CI). A two-way mixed model ANCOVA with baseline (PRE) as the covariate was used to compare hallmark heat acclimation adaptations (e.g., end-exercise \( T_{re} \)) across time (post three days vs. post six days) and between groups (HWI vs. EHA vs. TNE). The endogenous thermal stimulus and physical activity during each of the daily interventions was compared using a two-way mixed model ANOVA. Bonferroni-adjusted pairwise comparisons were used where appropriate to determine where differences occurred. The magnitude of effect was reported where differences occurred. The magnitude of effect was reported where differences occurred.29 Pearson’s correlations determined the strength of the relationship between hallmark adaptations and changes in TTE. To assess endogenous thermal stimulus, the AUC was performed on the daily intervention \( T_{re} \) (time and magnitude \( T_{re} \) was \( >38.5 \degree C \)) in each group using the trapezoid method.20 A statistically meaningful change in end-exercise \( T_{re} \) was defined as \(-0.34 \degree C \) based on the large beneficial effect observed in a recent meta-analysis.11 Data were analysed using SPSS version 27 (IBM Corporation, NY, USA), or GraphPad Prism Version 9 (GraphPad Software Inc. La Jolla, USA).

3. Results

Daily intervention

All participants completed six days of their assigned intervention. Differences in the daily endogenous thermal stimulus were observed between groups (main effect of group, \( f = 29.756, p < 0.001 \); Supplement A), for example, mean daily AUC for EHA (48 ± 9 km, \( p = 0.026 \)), but no differences were detected between EHA (37 ± 6 km) compared to HWI (p = 0.169). No differences were observed for daily physical activity time (\( >3 \) METS) throughout the study protocol, evidenced by no main effects of time or group, and no interaction effect (all \( p \geq 0.423 \); Supplement A).

Hallmark heat acclimation adaptations

No differences were detected between groups for sleep duration (6 ± 1 h), sleep efficiency (86 ± 9%) or urine specific gravity (1.019 ± 0.007) before experimental trials, evidenced by no main effects of time or group, and no interaction effects (all \( p \geq 0.336 \)). A two-way mixed model ANCOVA, with baseline as the covariate, detected a main effect of time (\( f = 4.315, p = 0.025 \)), post hoc pairwise comparisons revealed that total external work was lower in HWI (37 ± 6 km) compared to EHA (48 ± 9 km, \( p = 0.026 \)), but no differences were detected between HWI (45 ± 9 km) and EHA (p = 1.000), or between TNE and HWI (p = 0.169). No differences were observed for daily physical activity time (\( >3 \) METS) throughout the study protocol, evidenced by no main effects of time or group, and no interaction effect (all \( p \geq 0.423 \); Supplement A).

Fig. 2. Influence of three (POST3) and six days (POST6) of thermoneutral exercise (TNE), exercise heat acclimation (EHA), or post-exercise hot water immersion (HWI) on resting rectal core temperature (\( T_{re} \)) and end-exercise \( T_{re} \) following a 40-min treadmill run at 65% \( V_{O2peak} \) in the heat (33 °C, 40% RH) (B). Bars represent the baseline-adjusted mean change from baseline; circles represent individual participant responses.
Seven participants were removed from the TTE analysis owing to: reaching the $T_{re}$ ethical cut-off (HWI, $n = 2$); going to the toilet (EHA, $n = 1$); lower limb discomfort (TNE, $n = 1$); exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (TNE, $n = 1$); nausea (TNE, $n = 1$); and an obvious lack of effort without markers of overheating at rest (TNE, $n = 1$). No main effects of time or group, and no interaction effects (all $p > 0.416$) were observed in the remaining 20 participants who completed the TTE protocol (7 HWI; 8 EHA; 5 TNE; Supplement C). Correlational analysis revealed that the change in TTE was associated with the magnitude of adaptation in end-exercise $T_{re}$ ($r = −0.47$, $p = 0.019$), end-exercise physiological strain index ($r = −0.54$, $p = 0.008$), and whole-body sweat rate ($r = 0.49$, $p = 0.013$). There were no main effects of time or group, and no interaction effects detected for markers of short-term overheating (all $p ≥ 0.172$), including: mood disturbance, energy index, Stroop reaction time, Stroop accuracy, end-TTE heart rate, end-TTE blood lactate, and sleep efficiency. Although interestingly, the three EHA participants who experienced no improvement or a decline in endurance capacity (Supplement C) showed some signs of overheating, evidenced by an increase in total mood disturbance (+29), and decreases in energy index (−15), sleep efficiency (−13%), and Stroop accuracy (−3%).

4. Discussion

The current study sought to compare adaptations after three and six days of post-exercise HWI and EHA in recreationally active males. In addition, the individual contributions of daily submaximal exercise and heat stress to the adaptations following EHA were investigated. The novel finding is that short-term post-exercise HWI elicits larger thermal adaptations compared with short-term EHA. For example, resting $T_{re}$ was lower following HWI (−0.38 °C) compared to EHA (−0.14 °C), which translated to a lower end-exercise $T_{re}$ (−0.47 °C) during exercise-heat-stress. Despite a similar daily endogenous thermal stimulus during HWI and EHA (Supplement A), the benefits of exercising in the heat beyond exercising in temperate conditions appear modest (end-exercise $T_{re}$ reduction: EHA, −0.26 °C; TNE, −0.25 °C).

Post-exercise HWI initiated a large reduction in resting $T_{re}$ (−0.38 °C), which accounted for most of the reduction in end-exercise $T_{re}$ during exercise-heat-stress (−81%). The induction of a large reduction in resting $T_{re}$ following HWI is likely due to exposure to a large dual thermal stimulus (average end-immersion $T_{wa}$ was 39.3 °C and $T_{wa}$ was 40 °C), which is purported to induce a more complete state of heat acclimation.15 We contend that this dual thermal stimulus is necessary for meaningful heat acclimation adaptations to arise; a recent post-exercise HWI study eliciting an end-intervention $T_{re}$ of only 38.4 °C observed no further benefit compared to exercise in the heat alone.23 Furthermore, the HWI protocol in the current study likely elicited a greater peripheral stimulus as skin temperature was continuously elevated for the whole immersion duration. In the present study, EHA had no effect on resting $T_{re}$ beyond that of exercise in temperate conditions, despite eliciting a similar endogenous thermal stimulus to HWI. The larger reductions in resting $T_{re}$, end-exercise $T_{re}$ and $T_{wa}$ at sweating onset after HWI compared to EHA are likely due to a higher skin temperature during the daily intervention (40 °C vs. −35°C). This finding is supported by previous work that demonstrated an accelerated rate of phenotypic adaptation when a high skin temperature was employed in conjunction with conventional exercise heat acclimation.16 Research has linked the repeated elevation in skin temperature and activation of warm-sensitive neurons to the induction of hypothalamic neural network changes that reduce resting core temperature.22 Accordingly, the induction of meaningful heat acclimation benefits is dependent upon the magnitude of both the endogenous thermal stimulus and skin temperature.17 In addition, HWI may elicit haematomochorial adaptations (e.g., plasma volume expansion) distinct from conventional exercise heat acclimation15; however, no differences were observed in plasma volume following the interventions.

The inclusion of a work-matched thermoneutral exercise intervention allows for insights into the individual influence of daily submaximal exercise and heat stress on adaptations in recreationally active participants. For example, after adjusting for baseline, −96% of the reduction in end-exercise $T_{re}$ following six days of EHA, was observed from daily thermoneutral exercise alone (−0.26 °C vs. −0.25 °C). Aligning with previous research,11 EHA had a larger effect on increasing whole-body sweat rate compared to daily exercise in temperate conditions, but this did not translate to an improvement in endurance capacity in the heat. It is however worth noting that three EHA participants experienced either a decline or no change in TTE, coinciding with evidence of short-term overheating (e.g., total mood disturbance and sleep efficiency).24 The combined stressors of daily exercise-heat-stress could have exhibited these abnormal training responses within our recreationally active population.24 As such, interventions should be undertaken with caution, while ensuring adequate time for recovery to minimise fatigue and ensure adaptations are fully realised.6

The reduction in end-exercise $T_{re}$ following six days of post-exercise HWI in the present study (−0.47 °C) exceeds that previously reported (−0.36 °C).15 This is likely explained by the lower aerobic fitness of the participants in the present study (53 mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ vs. 61 mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$).14 Endurance-trained individuals are considered partially heat acclimatised and to have a reduced adaptation potential.25 Furthermore, the current study involved an additional exercise-heat exposure (experimental trial) and rest-day after three days (Fig. 1). It is possible that previous research, adopting a limited recovery period between the final heat acclimation bout and the exercise-heat-stress test, may underplay heat acclimation benefits, as the full effects may manifest after adequate recovery.25 While the data clearly demonstrate heat acclimation adaptations, findings on the effectiveness of the interventions for improving TTE should be considered with caution owing to the small sample size. As such, future work with a larger sample size is required to confirm (or reject) whether post-exercise HWI provides favourable improvements in endurance capacity in the heat compared to EHA. We also recognise that while the approach used in the current study allowed us to examine the importance of the dual thermal stimulus for heat acclimation adaptation, mean body temperature (calculated from core and skin temperature) was likely higher during HWI compared to EHA. Hence, further research is required to determine whether post-exercise HWI compares favourably to EHA when mean body temperature is matched.

5. Conclusion

Short-term post-exercise HWI intervention elicited larger thermal adaptations compared with conventional short-term exercise heat acclimation. In addition, the thermal benefits of conventional short-term exercise heat acclimation beyond exercising in temperate conditions appear modest.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2021.05.008.
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