

Fostering Students' Creativity Through Video Game Development

Ximena López

IT, Cognition and Education

Initium Studios

Rome, Italy

ximena.lopez@initium-studios.com

Carlo Fabricatore

Computing Department, WBS

University of Worcester

Worcester, UK

c.fabricatore@worc.ac.uk

Abstract —This study focuses on the impact of video game development as an approach to educate individuals for the demands of creative industries such as video games. Questionnaires were administered to 38 students enrolled in two educational programs which involved developing video games. Findings suggest that developing video games creates an exceptional setting to promote the students' creativity, due to characteristics of the task and of the work environment generated by this activity.

Keywords- *creativity, contextual factors, task characteristics, video game development, game development education.*

I. INTRODUCTION

As society evolves in an ever more globalized world, the importance of creativity in education becomes a central issue. Current research suggests that the inventiveness and adaptability of creative graduates allow them to explore new markets and clients, with consequent benefits in earning a living amidst the current economic downturn [3]. Hence, educational initiatives aiming at developing high-quality professionals should be focused on approaches benefiting creativity development.

Without neglecting the importance of personal factors, the literature regarding creativity development suggests that there are task and context characteristics that promote the emergence of creative processes and results. The purpose of this study is to explore if these characteristics are perceived by students in the context of game development educational initiatives, and if their perception is associated to the self-perceived creativity improvement.

II. CREATIVITY AND VIDEO GAME DEVELOPMENT

In spite of the current economic downturn, in 2010 the global video game market has confirmed its strength in the arena of creative industries. Game development requires high levels of creativity and large numbers of creative people [1]. However, students applying to game development education programs may not have high and uniform initial individual levels of creativity. Thus, in order to foster student employability, educational programs should create learning environments generating contextual factors that foster, encourage and support the development of creativity.

A. Creative Environments and Task Characteristics

Work by Amabile and colleagues [4] indicates that the production of creative ideas in the workplace depends not

only on the employees' individual characteristics, but also on the perception of the environment where they work. Challenge, autonomy, support to new ideas, proper allocation of resources, playfulness, tolerance to failure and diversity of the work group have been identified as the main characteristics of the social microenvironment influencing creativity of workgroups.

Special attention has also been given to the relationship between task characteristics and creativity. Shalley and Gilson [5] indicate that highly complex and challenging tasks make workers more persistent and more likely to explore alternative approaches, which should result in more creative outcomes. Mumford [6] shows that creative work can occur when the tasks presented involve complex, ill-defined problems requiring the generation of novel, useful solutions. Oldham and Cumming [1] indicate that complex tasks encourage creativity by demanding to focus simultaneously on multiple dimensions of the work being tackled.

B. Enhancing creativity through video game development

Although little research has been carried out (e.g. [1]; [2]), some findings support the idea that video game development generates the contextual conditions that would allow students to develop creative skills. Game developers need to work in interdisciplinary teams to master complex technologies and deal with often sophisticated themes to produce creative contents palatable to their target audience. Sub-teams are required to simultaneously work on different interdependent tasks, where progress in one area depends on progress in the others [1][2]. Furthermore, developing games is an extremely complex, often ill-structured process, requiring teams to juggle many alternative ideas, for each one of which there are many possible implementations. There is a continuous and circular flow of idea creation and evaluation [1][2]. As new problems are discovered and new ideas and solutions are subsequently developed and implemented, further problems and new opportunities arise, thus leading to a new iteration. This circular process emphasizes continuous creativity through problem finding, problem solving and implementation of solutions.

III. METHOD

A total of 38 male students participated in this study, with ages ranging between 19 and 32 (mean= 21.7, SD=3.7). Participants were students of two Italian study programs covering multimedia software design and development.

These programs used an authentic learning approach, providing to students a learning context that reflects the way knowledge and skills are used in a real work environment. In addition to courses in game design, programming, graphic arts, sound and multimedia design, students were involved in a game production internship, which lasted four to six months. Tutors supported the students throughout the development process.

A 33 item questionnaire was created to collect students' perceptions regarding contextual and task characteristics of the learning environment. Based on the literature [4][5][6], items were formulated as propositions to be rated on a 5-point Likert scale (1= Strongly disagree, 5= Strongly agree). Perceived creativity improvement was measured by students' rates to the item "I think I developed my creativity thanks to participating in this course". Internal consistency of the questionnaire was 0.77. An electronic copy of the questionnaire was sent by e-mail to all the students of the courses during the last week of classes. Questionnaires were returned by 85% of students.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A descriptive analysis of the items was carried out. Table 1 shows the percentage of students agreeing or strongly agreeing with the item statements. Results suggest that developing video games to educate individuals for the creative industries recreates a working atmosphere that supports creativity. Particularly, it appears that the main characteristics promoted were the encouragement to critically analyze their own work, and to search, generate and present new ideas, all of which are described by different researches as creativity-fostering characteristics [4][5]. Moreover, the learning environment fostered the acknowledgement of new ideas that were good, which parallels research focusing on the importance of recognition and credit to promote creative motions [5]. Other context features identified by students were the team's commitment to work, the diversity of skills and interests of participants and trust in others' work. This is consistent with previous studies [4][5] showing that creativity may occur through diversity in team members' backgrounds, challenging of ideas, and shared commitment to work. Only two contextual characteristics, i.e. adequate workload and responsibility load, were scarcely perceived by students. Excessive workload and responsibility are said to undermine creativity [4][5]. Thus, it is important that educators who plan to use video game development in their educational programs consider that this task can be very complex and demanding, for both students and tutors. Task characteristics identified by the literature as creativity promoters [1][2][5][6] were perceived by most of the students, in particular the iterative and complex nature of video game development.

Most students (84.2%) perceived that they had developed their creativity thanks to the courses. There is a positive correlation between the students' perception of the contextual characteristics (as a scale) and the perception of their own creativity improvement ($\rho=0.76$, $p=0.000$). There was no significant correlation with the tasks characteristics taken as a scale.

TABLE I. CREATIVE CHARACTERISTICS AS PERCEIVED BY STUDENTS

Characteristics Associated with Creativity	% students
Contextual	
Encouragement to analyze own work	97.4
Participants' commitment to work	92.1
Encouragement to present ideas	86.8
Encouragement to search for new ideas	86.8
Participants with different skills and interests	81.6
Opportunities for initiative	81.6
Trust in others' work	78.9
Acknowledgement of good ideas	78.9
Team interdependence	68.4
Fluent communication tutors-students	65.8
Encouragement for autonomy	65.8
Impartiality	63.2
Tutors as creative models	60.5
Motivating/ stimulating work climate	57.9
Possibility of assuming risks	57.9
New ideas welcomed by participants	55.3
Playfulness	52.6
Adequate responsibility load	15.8
Adequate work load	7.9
Task	
VG development as circular and iterative process	94.7
VG development as complex and demanding	92.1
Importance of creativity for all team members	68.4
Need for creativity during the whole process	68.4
VG development not only for the creative people	57.9

We believe that task and contextual characteristics of video game development found in this study also foster the development of other skills and competences, such as teamwork and problem-solving. Thus, video game development may be a remarkable tool not only to develop students' creativity but also crucial skills necessary to face the increasingly demanding labor market.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. T. Tschang, "When Does An Idea Become an Innovation? The Role of Individual and Group Creativity in Video game Design". Proc. DRUID Summer Conference, Copenhagen Business School, June 2003. http://www.druid.dk/uploads/tx_picturedb/ds2003-871.pdf.
- [2] M. Walfisz, P. Zackariasson, and T. Wilson, "Real-time strategy: Evolutionary game development", Business Horizons, vol. 49, 2006, pp. 487-498.
- [3] R. Bridgstock, "Skills for creative industries graduate success", Education & Training, vol. 53(1), 2011, pp. 9-26.
- [4] T. M. Amabile, R. Conti, H. Coon, J. Lazenby, and M. Herron, "Assessing the work environment for creativity", Academy of Management Journal, vol. 39(5), 1996, pp. 1154-1184.
- [5] C. E. Shalley and L. L. Gilson, "What leaders need to know: A review of social and contextual factors that can foster or hinder creativity", Leadership Quarterly, Vol. 15(1), 2004, pp. 33-53.
- [6] M. Mumford, "Managing creative people: strategies and tactics for innovation", Human Resource Management Review, Vol. 10(3), 2000, pp. 313- 351.
- [7] G. Oldham, and A. Cummings, "Employee Creativity: Personal and Contextual Factors at Work", Academy Of Management Journal, Vol. 39(3), 1996, pp. 607-634.